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ACTION CALENDAR

UNFINISHED BUSINESS OF THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2014

Third Reading

S. 304.

An act relating to public school principals and nonrenewal of contracts.

Amendment to S. 304 to be Offered by Senator Collins before Third Reading

Senator Collins moves to amend the bill by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 16 V.S.A. § 243 is amended to read:

§ 243. APPOINTMENT; SUPERVISION; RENEWAL; DISMISSAL

(a) Appointment; supervision.

(1) The school board of each school district operating a school, after recommendation by the superintendent, may designate a person as principal for each public school within the district, except that a principal may be selected to serve more than one school. In the case of a career technical education center, only the school board which operates the center may designate a person as director. For purposes of As used in this section, the word “principal” shall include a principal and the director of career technical education, and the term “public school” shall include a career technical education center.

(2) The superintendent shall supervise each principal within the supervisory union in the performance of duties and the implementation of school-based initiatives. The superintendent shall evaluate a principal during the year in which the principal’s contract shall expire and may evaluate the principal at other times during the contract term. Together with the evaluation provided to the principal in the year in which the contract shall expire, the superintendent shall indicate in writing whether he or she intends to recommend to the school board that the contract be renewed or not renewed. If the superintendent intends to recommend nonrenewal, then the written notification shall also indicate on which of the three categories set forth in subdivision (c)(2) of this section the recommendation is based.

(b) Length of contract. The principal shall be employed by written contract for a term of not less than one year nor more than three years. Based upon the superintendent’s most recent written evaluation of the principal, a
superintendent shall recommend to the school board whether or not to renew the initial and any subsequent contract with a principal.

(c) Renewal and nonrenewal.

(1) A principal who has been continuously employed for more than two years in the same position has the right either to have his or her contract renewed, or to receive written notice of nonrenewal at least 90 days before the existing contract expires:

(A) on or before February 1, if the principal has been continuously employed for more than two years in the same position; and

(B) on or before April 1, if the principal has been continuously employed for two years or less in the same position.

(2) Nonrenewal may be based upon elimination of the position, unresolved performance deficiencies, or other reasons affecting the educational mission of the district. The written notice shall recite the grounds for nonrenewal. If nonrenewal is based on performance deficiencies, the written notice shall be accompanied by an evaluation performed by the superintendent. At its discretion, any reason other than the elimination of the position then, at its discretion, the school board may allow a period of remediation of performance deficiencies prior to issuance of the written notice its final decision on nonrenewal.

(3) After receiving such a notice of nonrenewal, the principal may request in writing, and shall be granted, a meeting with the school board. Such request shall be delivered within 15 calendar days of delivery of notice of nonrenewal, and the meeting shall be held within 15 calendar days of delivery of the request for a meeting. At the meeting, the school board shall explain its position, and the principal shall be allowed to respond. The principal and any member of the board may present written information or oral information through statements of others, and the principal and the board may be represented by counsel. The meeting shall be in executive session unless both parties agree in writing that it be open to the public. After the meeting, the school board shall decide whether or not to offer the principal an opportunity to renew his or her contract. The school board shall issue its decision in writing within five days. The decision of the school board shall be final.

* * *

(e) Inclusion in contract. Every principal's contract shall be deemed to contain the provisions of this section. Any contract provision to the contrary is without effect. Each written contract shall include a reference to chapter 5.
subchapter 3 of this title; provided, however, that failure to do so shall not give rise to a private right of action.

(f) Notification by principal. On or before May 1 of the year in which a principal’s contract expires, the principal shall notify the school board in writing if he or she intends not to enter into a new contract with the district.

Sec. 2. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on passage.

Amendment to S. 304 to be Offered by Senators Mullin and Flory before Third Reading

Senators Mullin and Flory move to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 1, in 16 V.S.A. § 243(c), by striking out subdivision (2) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subdivision (2) to read:

(2) Nonrenewal may be based upon elimination of the position, performance deficiencies, or other reasons. The written notice shall recite the grounds for nonrenewal. If nonrenewal is based on performance deficiencies, the written notice shall be accompanied by an evaluation performed by the superintendent. At its discretion, the school board may allow a period of remediation of performance deficiencies prior to issuance of the written notice.

Amendment to S. 304 to be Offered by Senator Nitka before Third Reading

Senator Nitka moves to amend the bill as follows:

First: In Sec. 1, in 16 V.S.A. § 243(c), by striking out subdivision (1) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subdivision (1) to read:

(1) A principal who has been continuously employed for more than two years in the same position has the right either to have his or her contract renewed, or to receive written notice of nonrenewal at least 90 days before the existing contract expires:

(A) on or before February 1, if the principal has been continuously employed for more than two years in the same position;

(B) on or before April 1, if the principal has been continuously employed for two years or less in the same position; and

(C) at least 30 days before the existing contract expires, if the final day of the existing contract is other than June 30.

Second: In Sec. 1, in 16 V.S.A. § 243(c)(3), in the second sentence, by striking out the word “five” and inserting in lieu thereof the numeral 10
NEW BUSINESS

Third Reading

S. 275.

An act relating to the Court’s jurisdiction over youthful offenders.

Second Reading

Favorable

S. 263.

An act relating to the authority of assistant judges in child support contempt proceedings.

Reported favorably by Senator Benning for the Committee on Judiciary.

(Committee vote: 4-0-1)

Favorable with Recommendation of Amendment

S. 177.

An act relating to nonjudicial discipline.

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator McAllister for the Committee on Government Operations.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 20 V.S.A. chapter 39 (courts-martial) §§ 941–945 are designated as subchapter I, which is added to read:


Sec. 2. 20 V.S.A. chapter 39 (courts-martial), subchapter 2 is added to read:

Subchapter 2. Nonjudicial Discipline

§ 961. COMMANDING OFFICER NONJUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

(a)(1) A commanding officer may impose nonjudicial discipline upon a service member for minor military offenses without the intervention of a court-martial in accordance with the provisions of this subchapter.

(2) The commanding officer who intends to impose nonjudicial discipline upon a service member shall notify him or her of the following:

(A) the nature of the alleged offense;
(B) the commanding officer’s intent to dispose of the matter by nonjudicial discipline; and

(C) any other nonjudicial discipline procedural rights established by regulation.

(3) As used in this section, “commanding officer” shall include an officer-in-charge.

(b) A commanding officer may impose upon enlisted members of the officer’s command:

(1) an admonition;

(2) a reprimand;

(3) for members who are serving on full-time military orders in excess of 179 days, the forfeiture of up to seven days of pay and, for all others, up to four days of pay;

(4) a fine of not more than seven days’ pay;

(5) a reduction to the next inferior pay grade, if the grade from which the member is demoted is within the promotion authority of the officer imposing the reduction or any officer subordinate to the one who imposes the reduction;

(6) extra duties for not more than 14 days, which need not be consecutive; and

(7) restriction to certain specified limits, with or without suspension from duty, for not more than 14 days, which need not be consecutive.

(c) A commanding officer of the grade of major or above may impose upon enlisted members of the officer’s command:

(1) any discipline authorized in subdivisions (b)(1), (2), and (3) of this section;

(2) for members who are serving on full-time military orders in excess of 179 days, the forfeiture of not more than one-half of one month’s pay per month for up to two months, and, for all others, up to 14 days of pay;

(3) a fine of not more than one month’s pay;

(4) a reduction to the lowest or any intermediate pay grade, if the grade from which the member is demoted is within the promotion authority of the officer imposing the reduction or any officer subordinate to the one who imposes the reduction, but an enlisted member in a pay grade above E-4 may not be reduced more than two pay grades;
(5) for members who are serving on full-time military orders in excess of 179 days, the imposition of extra duties for up to 45 days which need not be consecutive, and, for all others, the imposition of extra duties for up to 14 days which need not be consecutive; and

(6) restriction to certain specified limits, with or without suspension from duty, for not more than 60 days, which need not be consecutive.

(d)(1) The Adjutant and Inspector General or an officer of a general or flag rank in command may impose:

(A) upon an officer or warrant officer of the officer’s command, any discipline authorized in subdivisions (c)(1), (2), (3), and (6) of this section;

(B) upon an enlisted member of the officer’s command, any discipline authorized in subsection (c) of this section.

(2) The Adjutant and Inspector General or an officer of a general or flag rank in command may delegate his or her powers under this subsection to a principle assistant who is a member of the Vermont National Guard.

(e) Whenever any disciplines imposed under this section are to be served consecutively, the total length of the combined discipline shall not exceed the authorized duration of the longest discipline in the combination, and there shall be an apportionment of disciplines so that no single discipline in the combination exceeds its authorized length.

(f)(1) The officer who imposes the discipline or his or her successor in command may at any time suspend, set aside, mitigate, or remit any part or amount of the discipline and restore all rights, privileges, and property affected. The officer also may mitigate a reduction in grade to a forfeiture of pay or mitigate extra duties to a restriction to certain specified limits.

(2) The mitigated discipline shall not be for a greater period than the original discipline mitigated. When mitigating reduction in grade to forfeiture of pay, the amount of the forfeiture shall not be greater than the amount that could have been imposed initially under this section by the officer who imposed the discipline.

(g) Whenever a discipline of forfeiture of pay is imposed under this section, the forfeiture may apply to pay accruing before, on, or after the date that discipline is imposed.

§ 962. SERVICE MEMBERS SUBJECT TO NONJUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

(a) A service member subject to nonjudicial discipline under this subchapter shall, during the course of his or her disciplinary proceedings, have the right to:
(1) consult with a judge advocate or with private counsel at the service member’s own expense;

(2) submit matters in extenuation, mitigation, or defense; and

(3) call and examine witnesses, to the extent witness are reasonably available.

(b)(1) Except as provided in subdivision (2) of this subsection, a service member subject to nonjudicial discipline shall have the right to demand a court-martial in lieu of nonjudicial discipline.

(2) A service member subject to nonjudicial discipline shall not have the right to demand a court-martial in lieu of nonjudicial discipline if the service member is notified by the commanding officer that the commanding officer does not intend to impose a restriction to certain specified limits, a fine, or extra duties if, after a hearing, the service member is found guilty of any offense with which he or she is charged.

(c)(1) A service member subject to nonjudicial discipline under this subchapter may elect to have his or her case heard before a nonjudicial discipline panel, described in section 963 of this subchapter.

(2) The service member shall have 24 hours from the commanding officer’s notice of his or her intent to dispose of the matter by nonjudicial discipline to make an election for disposition by a nonjudicial panel, and shall have the right to consult with a judge advocate or with private counsel at the service member’s own expense prior to making such a decision.

§ 963. NONJUDICIAL DISCIPLINE PANELS

(a) When a service member elects to have his or her case heard before a nonjudicial discipline panel as provided in section 962 of this subchapter, the panel shall be formed as follows:

(1) The panel shall consist of three members, appointed by the next higher authority of the commanding officer who seeks to impose the nonjudicial discipline.

(2) The members of the panel shall be officers who are senior to the service member requesting the panel. If it is an enlisted service member requesting the panel, there shall be at least one enlisted service member on the panel, but that enlisted service member must be senior to the enlisted service member requesting the panel.

(3) The senior member of the panel shall be the chair. The most junior member shall be the recorder and shall record summaries of the proceedings.
(4) If the nonjudicial discipline is being offered by a general officer, the panel shall consist of three members appointed by the Adjutant and Inspector General with the most senior member being the chair and the most junior member being the recorder, who shall record the summaries of the proceedings.

(b) The panel decision shall be by majority vote. The panel shall have the same authority and responsibility in conducting the proceeding and disposing of the matter, including imposing nonjudicial discipline, as has a commanding officer of the grade of major or above pursuant to this subchapter.

(c)(1) The panel shall forward its recommendation for disposition and imposition of discipline, if any, to the authority who appointed the panel under subsection (a) of this section.

(2)(A) The appointing authority may approve the recommended discipline or any part or amount as the appointing authority sees fit and may suspend, mitigate, or remit the recommended discipline as he or she deems appropriate.

(B) The appointing authority shall not approve any discipline in excess of that recommended by the panel.

§ 964. APPEALS FROM NONJUDICIAL DISCIPLINE DECISIONS

(a)(1) A service member disciplined under this subchapter who considers the discipline unjust or disproportionate to the offense may appeal to the next superior authority within 15 days after the discipline is either announced or notice of the discipline is sent to the accused, as the commander under section 961 or the appointing authority under section 963 of this subchapter may determine.

(2) An appeal from the decision of an appointing authority under section 963 of this subchapter shall be taken directly to the next higher authority, unless the action is initiated by a general officer, in which case the Adjutant and Inspector General shall have the final decision.

(b) The appeal shall be promptly forwarded and decided, but the service member disciplined may, in the meantime, be required to undergo the discipline adjudged.

(c)(1) The superior authority may exercise the same powers with respect to the discipline imposed as may be exercised under section 961 or 963 of this subchapter by the officer who imposed the discipline, except that the superior authority shall not impose any discipline in excess of what was originally imposed.
(2) Before acting on an appeal, the authority may refer the case to a judge advocate for consideration and advice.

§ 965. EFFECT OF NONJUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

(a) The imposition and enforcement of nonjudicial discipline under this subchapter for any act or omission shall not be a bar to trial by court-martial or a civilian court of competent jurisdiction for a serious crime or offense growing out of the same act or omission and not properly punishable under this subchapter.

(b) The fact that nonjudicial discipline has been enforced may be shown by the accused upon trial and, when so shown, it shall be considered in determining the measure of discipline to be adjudged in the event of a finding of guilty.

Sec. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2014.

(Committee vote: 5-0-0)

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator White for the Committee on Judiciary.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Government Operations, with the following amendments thereto:

First: In Sec. 2, in 20 V.S.A. § 961(a)(1), after “in accordance with the provisions” by inserting of

Second: In Sec. 2, in 20 V.S.A. § 962(b), by striking out subdivision (2) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(2) A service member subject to nonjudicial discipline shall not have the right to demand a court-martial in lieu of nonjudicial discipline if the commanding officer will not impose a restriction to certain specified limits, a fine, or extra duties if, after a hearing, the service member is found guilty of any offense with which he or she is charged and the commanding officer advises the service member of that fact when the commanding officer notifies the service member of his or her intent to impose nonjudicial discipline.

(Committee vote: 4-0-1)
S. 287.

An act relating to involuntary treatment and medication.

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator White for the Committee on Judiciary.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 18 V.S.A. § 7612 is amended to read:

§ 7612. APPLICATION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

(a) An interested party may, by filing a written application, commence proceedings for the involuntary treatment of an individual by judicial process.

(b) The application shall be filed in the criminal division of the superior court, Family Division of the Superior Court of the proposed patient’s residence or, in the case of a nonresident, in any district court.

(c) If the application is filed under section 7508 or 7620 of this title, it shall be filed in the criminal division of the superior court unit of the Family Division of the Superior Court in which the hospital is located. In all other cases, it shall be filed in the unit in which the patient resides. In the case of a nonresident, it may be filed in any unit.

(d) The application shall contain:

(1) The name and address of the applicant; and

(2) A statement of the current and relevant facts upon which the allegation of mental illness and need for treatment is based. The application shall be signed by the applicant under penalty of perjury.

(e) The application shall be accompanied by:

(1) A certificate of a licensed physician, which shall be executed under penalty of perjury stating that he or she has examined the proposed patient within five days of the date the petition is filed, and is of the opinion that the proposed patient is a person in need of treatment, including the current and relevant facts and circumstances upon which the physician’s opinion is based; or

(2) A written statement by the applicant that the proposed patient refused to submit to an examination by a licensed physician.

(f) Before an examining physician completes the certificate of examination, he or she shall consider available alternative forms of care and treatment that
might be adequate to provide for the person’s needs, without requiring hospitalization.

Sec. 2. 18 V.S.A. § 7612a is added to read:

§ 7612a. PROBABLE CAUSE REVIEW

(a) Within three days after an application for involuntary treatment is filed, the Family Division of the Superior Court shall conduct a review to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that he or she was a person in need of treatment at the time of his or her admission. The review shall be based solely on the application for an emergency examination and accompanying certificate by a licensed physician and the application for involuntary treatment.

(b) If based on a review conducted pursuant to subsection (a) of this section the Court finds probable cause to believe that the person was a person in need of treatment at the time of his or her admission, the person shall be ordered held for further proceedings in accordance with part 8 of this title. If probable cause is not established, the person shall be ordered discharged from the hospital and returned to the place from which he or she was transported or to his or her home.

Sec. 3. 18 V.S.A. § 7615 is amended to read:

§ 7615. HEARING

(a)(1) Upon receipt of the application, the Court shall set a date for the hearing to be held within 10 days from the date of the receipt of the application or 20 days from the date of the receipt of the application if a psychiatric examination is ordered under section 7614 of this title unless the hearing is continued by the Court pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.

(2) (A) The applicant or a person who is certified as a person in need of treatment pursuant to section 7508 may file a motion to expedite the hearing. The motion shall be supported by an affidavit. The Court may grant the motion if it finds that:

(i) the person has received involuntary medication pursuant to section 7624 of this title during the past two years and experienced significant clinical improvement in his or her mental state as a result of the treatment; or

(ii) the person demonstrates a significant risk of causing the person or others serious bodily injury as defined in 13 V.S.A. § 1021 even while hospitalized; and
(II) clinical interventions have failed to address the risk of harm to the person or others.

(B) If the Court grants the motion for expedited hearing pursuant to this subdivision, the hearing shall be held within seven to ten days from the date of the order for expedited hearing.

(b) The court For hearings held pursuant to subdivision (a)(1) of this section, the Court may grant either party an onetime extension of time of up to seven days for good cause.

(c) The hearing shall be conducted according to the Rules of Evidence applicable in civil actions in the criminal division of the superior courts Family Division of the Superior Court of the state State, and to an extent not inconsistent with this part, the Rules of Civil Procedure of the state Vermont shall be applicable.

(d) The applicant and the proposed patient shall have a right to appear at the hearing to testify. The attorney for the state State and the proposed patient shall have the right to subpoena, present and cross-examine witnesses, and present oral arguments. The court Court may, at its discretion, receive the testimony of any other person.

(e) The proposed patient may at his or her election attend the hearing, subject to reasonable rules of conduct, and the court Court may exclude all persons not necessary for the conduct of the hearing.

Sec. 4. 18 V.S.A. § 7624 is amended to read:

§ 7624. PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY MEDICATION

(a) The commissioner Commissioner may commence an action for the involuntary medication of a person who is refusing to accept psychiatric medication and meets any one of the following three conditions:

(1) has been placed in the commissioner’s Commissioner’s care and custody pursuant to section 7619 of this title or subsection 7621(b) of this title;

(2) has previously received treatment under an order of hospitalization and is currently under an order of nonhospitalization, including a person on an order of nonhospitalization who resides in a secure residential recovery facility; or

(3) has been committed to the custody of the commissioner of corrections Commissioner of Corrections as a convicted felon and is being held in a correctional facility which is a designated facility pursuant to section 7628 of this title and for whom the commissioner of corrections Department of Corrections and the department of mental health Department of Mental Health
have jointly determined jointly that involuntary medication would be appropriate pursuant to 28 V.S.A. § 907(4)(H).

(b)(1) A petition for involuntary medication may be filed at any time after the application for involuntary treatment is filed. A petition for involuntary medication shall be filed in the family division of the superior court Family Division of the Superior Court in the county in which the person is receiving treatment or, if an order has not been issued on the application for involuntary treatment, in the county in which the application for involuntary treatment is pending.

(2) The Court may consolidate a petition for involuntary medication and an application for involuntary treatment upon motion of a party or upon its own motion if it finds that consolidation would serve the interests of the parties and the administration of justice. If the proceedings are consolidated, the Court shall rule on the application for involuntary treatment before ruling on the petition for involuntary medication.

(c) The petition shall include a certification from the treating physician, executed under penalty of perjury, that includes the following information:

(1) the nature of the person’s mental illness;
(2) the necessity for involuntary medication, including the person’s competency to decide to accept or refuse medication;
(3) any proposed medication, including the method, dosage range, and length of administration for each specific medication;
(4) a statement of the risks and benefits of the proposed medications, including the likelihood and severity of adverse side effects and its effect on:
   (A) the person’s prognosis with and without the proposed medications; and
   (B) the person’s health and safety, including any pregnancy;
(5) the current relevant facts and circumstances, including any history of psychiatric treatment and medication, upon which the physician’s opinion is based;
(6) what alternate treatments have been proposed by the doctor, the patient, or others, and the reasons for ruling out those alternatives; and
(7) whether the person has executed a durable power of attorney for health care an advance directive in accordance with the provisions of 18 V.S.A. chapter 111, subchapter 231 of this title, and the identity of the health care agent or agents designated by the durable power of attorney advance directive.
(d) A copy of the durable power of attorney advance directive, if available, shall be attached to the petition.

Sec. 5. 18 V.S.A. § 7625 is amended to read:

§ 7625. HEARING ON PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY MEDICATION; BURDEN OF PROOF

(a) Unless consolidated with an application for involuntary treatment pursuant to section 7624 of this title, a hearing on a petition for involuntary medication shall be held within seven days of filing and shall be conducted in accordance with sections 7613, 7614, 7615(b)–(e), and 7616 and subsections 7615(b)–(e) of this title.

(b) In a hearing conducted pursuant to this section, section 7626, or section 7627 of this title, the commissioner Commissioner has the burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence.

(c) In determining whether or not the person is competent to make a decision regarding the proposed treatment, the court Court shall consider whether the person is able to make a decision and appreciate the consequences of that decision.

Sec. 6. 18 V.S.A. § 7626 is amended to read:

§ 7626. DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY ADVANCE DIRECTIVE

(a) If a person who is the subject of a petition filed under section 7624 of this title has executed a durable power of attorney advance directive in accordance with the provisions of 18 V.S.A. chapter 231 of this title, subchapter 2 for health care, the court Court shall suspend the hearing and enter an order pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, if the court Court determines that:

(1) the person is refusing to accept psychiatric medication;

(2) the person is not competent to make a decision regarding the proposed treatment; and

(3) the decision regarding the proposed treatment is within the scope of the valid, duly executed durable power of attorney for health care advance directive.

(b) An order entered under subsection (a) of this section shall authorize the commissioner Commissioner to administer treatment to the person, including involuntary medication in accordance with the direction set forth in the durable power of attorney advance directive or provided by the health care agent or agents acting within the scope of authority granted by the durable power of
attorney. If hospitalization is necessary to effectuate the proposed treatment, the court may order the person to be hospitalized.

(c) In the case of a person subject to an order entered pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, and upon the certification by the person’s treating physician to the court that the person has received treatment or no treatment consistent with the durable power of attorney for health care for 45 days after the order under subsection (a) of this section has been entered, then the court shall reconvene the hearing on the petition.

(1) If the court concludes that the person has experienced, and is likely to continue to experience, a significant clinical improvement in his or her mental state as a result of the treatment or nontreatment directed by the durable power of attorney for health care, or that the patient has regained competence, then the court shall enter an order denying and dismissing the petition.

(2) If the court concludes that the person has not experienced a significant clinical improvement in his or her mental state, and remains incompetent then the court shall consider the remaining evidence under the factors described in subdivisions 7627(c)(1) - (5) of this title and render a decision on whether the person should receive medication. [Repealed.]

Sec. 7. 18 V.S.A. § 7627(b) is amended to read:

(b) If a person who is the subject of a petition filed under section 7625 of this title has not executed a durable power of attorney an advance directive, the court shall follow the person’s competently expressed written or oral preferences regarding medication, if any, unless the commissioner demonstrates that the person’s medication preferences have not led to a significant clinical improvement in the person’s mental state in the past within an appropriate period of time.

Sec. 8. Rule 12 of the Vermont Rules for Family Proceedings is amended to read:

Rule 12. STAY OF PROCEEDINGS TO ENFORCE A JUDGMENT

(a) Automatic Stay Prior to Appeal; Exceptions.

(1) Automatic Stay. Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subdivision and in subdivision (c), no execution shall issue upon a judgment nor shall proceedings be taken for its enforcement until the expiration of 30 days after its entry or until the time for appeal from the judgment as extended by Appellate Rule 4 has expired.

(2) Exceptions. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, none of the following orders shall be stayed during the period after its entry and until an appeal is taken:
(A) In an action under Rule 4 of these rules, an order relating to parental rights and responsibilities and support of minor children or to separate support of a spouse (including maintenance) or to personal liberty or to the dissolution of marriage;

(B) An order of involuntary treatment, involuntary medication, nonhospitalization, or hospitalization, in an action pursuant to 18 V.S.A. §§ 7611-7623 chapter 181;

(C) Any order of disposition in a juvenile case, including an order terminating residual parental rights; or

(D) Any order in an action under Rule 9 of these rules for prevention of abuse, including such an action that has been consolidated or deemed consolidated with a proceeding for divorce or annulment pursuant to Rule 4(n).

The provisions of subdivision (d) of this rule govern the modification or enforcement of the judgment in an action under Rule 4 of these rules, during the pendency of an appeal.

* * *

(d) Stay Pending Appeal.

(1) Automatic Stay. In any action in which automatic stay prior to appeal is in effect pursuant to paragraph (1) or subdivision (a) of this rule, the taking of an appeal from a judgment shall operate as a stay of execution upon the judgment during the pendency of the appeal, and no supersedeas bond or other security shall be required as a condition of such stay.

(2) Other Actions.

(A) When an appeal has been taken from judgment in an action under Rule 4 of these rules in which no stay pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of this rule is in effect, the court in its discretion may, during the pendency of the appeal, grant or deny motions for modification or enforcement of that judgment.

(B)(i) When an appeal has been taken from an order for involuntary treatment, nonhospitalization, or hospitalization or involuntary treatment, in an action pursuant to chapter 181 of Title 18 V.S.A. chapter 181, the court in its discretion may, during the pendency of the appeal, grant or deny applications for continued treatment, modify its order, or discharge the patient, as provided in 18 V.S.A. §§ 7617, 7618, 7620, and 7621.

(ii)(I) If an order of involuntary medication is appealed, the appellant may file a motion in the Family Division to stay the order during the
pendency of the appeal. A motion to stay filed under this subdivision shall stay the involuntary medication order while the motion to stay is pending.

(II) The Family Division’s ruling on a motion to stay filed under subdivision (I) of this subdivision (ii) may be modified or vacated by the Supreme Court upon motion by a party filed within seven days after the ruling is issued. If the appellant is the moving party, the order for involuntary medication shall remain stayed until the Supreme Court rules on the motion to vacate or modify the stay. A motion to vacate or modify a stay under this subdivision shall be determined by a single Justice of the Supreme Court, who may hear the matter or at his or her discretion refer it to the entire Supreme Court for hearing. No further appeal may lie from the ruling of a single Justice in matters to which this subdivision applies. The motion shall be determined as soon as practicable and to the extent possible shall take priority over other matters.

* * *

Sec. 9. AVAILABILITY OF PSYCHIATRISTS FOR EXAMINATIONS

The Agency of Human Services shall examine its contract with Vermont Legal Aid’s Mental Health Law Project to determine whether continued State funding to the Mental Health Law Project may be made contingent upon the Mental Health Law Project contracting with a sufficient number of psychiatrists to conduct psychiatric examinations pursuant to 18 V.S.A. § 7614 in the time frame established by 18 V.S.A. § 7615.

Sec. 10. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2014.

(Committee vote: 5-0-0)

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator Ayer for the Committee on Health and Welfare.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary with the following amendment thereto:

In Sec. 4, 18 V.S.A. § 7624(b), by striking out subdivision (2) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subdivision (2) to read as follows:

(2) The Court may consolidate an application for involuntary treatment and a petition for involuntary medication upon motion of a party or upon its own motion if there is good cause to believe that consolidation will serve the best interests of the patient. If the proceedings are consolidated, the Court shall rule on the application for involuntary treatment before ruling on the petition for involuntary medication.
and that when so amended the bill ought to pass.

(Committee vote: 3-2-0)

NOTICE CALENDAR

Committee Bill for Second Reading

Favorable with Recommendation of Amendment

S. 316.

An act relating to child care providers.

By the Committee on Education

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator Cummings for the Committee on Appropriations.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended as follows:

First: In Sec. 2, in § 3603(b), by striking out the subsection in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subsection (b) to read:

(b)(1) Mandatory subjects of bargaining are limited to:

(A) child care subsidy reimbursement rates and payment procedures, excluding quality standards and payment schedules associated with the STep Ahead Recognition System (STARS):

(B) professional development;

(C) the collection of dues and disbursement to the exclusive representative;

(D) agency fees and disbursement to the exclusive representative; and

(E) procedures for resolving grievances.

(2) The parties may also negotiate on any mutually agreed matters that are not in conflict with State or federal law.

Second: In Sec. 2, in § 3603(e), by striking out the subsection in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subsection (e) to read:

(e) An early care and education providers’ organization shall not charge the agency fee unless it has established and maintained a procedure to provide nonmembers with:

(1) an audited financial statement that identifies the major categories of expenses and divides them into chargeable and nonchargeable expenses;
(2) an opportunity to object to the amount of the agency fee sought, and to place in escrow any amount reasonably in dispute; and

(3) prompt arbitration by the Vermont Labor Board to resolve any objections over the agency fee.

Third: In Sec. 2, in § 3606(a), by striking out the subsection in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subsection (a) to read:

(a) The bargaining unit shall be composed of licensed home child care providers, registered home child care providers, and legally exempt child care providers, as defined in this chapter, who have an agreement with the Department to accept a subsidy.

Fourth: In Sec. 3, by striking out the section in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new Sec. 3 to read:

Sec. 3. NEGOTIATIONS; EARLY CARE AND EDUCATION PROVIDERS

The State’s cost of negotiating an agreement pursuant to 33 V.S.A. chapter 36 shall be borne by the State out of existing appropriations made to it for administrative expenditures by the General Assembly. These costs shall not be funded by appropriations made for benefit payments.

Fifth: In Sec. 4, by striking out the section in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof two new sections to be Secs. 4 and 5 to read:

Sec. 4. SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS

If any provision of this chapter or the application of such provision to any person or circumstances shall be held invalid, the remainder of the chapter and the application of such provisions to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid shall not be affected thereby.

Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATES

This act shall take effect on passage, except for Sec. 2(b)(1)(D) (bargaining for agency fees) which shall take effect on February 15, 2015.

(Committee vote: 5-1-1)
Second Reading

S. 91.

An act relating to public funding of some approved independent schools.

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator Zuckerman for the Committee on Education.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. PRIVATIZATION OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; MORATORIUM; REPEAL

(a) Privatization of public school. Notwithstanding the authority of a school district to cease operating an elementary or secondary school and to begin paying tuition on behalf of its resident students, a school district shall not cease operation of a school with the intention, for the purpose, or with the result of having the school building or buildings reopen as an approved independent school serving essentially the same population of students.

(b) State Board approval. The State Board of Education shall not approve an independent school under 16 V.S.A. § 166 if, on or after the effective date of this act, a school district votes to cease operating a school that at the time of the vote serves essentially the same population of students as the independent school proposes to serve and is located in the building or buildings in which the independent school proposes to operate.

(c) Publicly funded tuition. An approved independent school shall not be eligible to receive publicly funded tuition dollars if, on or after the effective date of this act, a school district votes to cease operating a school that at the time of the vote serves essentially the same population of students as the independent school proposes to serve and is located in the building or buildings in which the independent school proposes to operate.

(d) Repeal. This section is repealed on July 1, 2016.

Sec. 2. SECRETARY OF EDUCATION; PRIVATIZATION STUDY; REPORT

(a) The Secretary of Education shall research the constitutional and other legal consequences of a school district’s decision to cease operating a school with the intention, for the purpose, or with the result of having the school building or buildings reopen as an approved independent school serving essentially the same population of students. Among other issues, the Secretary shall examine federal civil rights law and the Vermont Supreme Court’s
decision in *Brigham v. State* and shall consider issues of delegation of authority and the proper use of State funds.

(b) On or before January 15, 2015, the Secretary shall report the results of the research required by this section to the Senate and House Committees on Education, together with any recommendations for legislative amendments.

Sec. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on passage.

and that after passage the title of the bill be amended to read: "An act relating to privatization of public schools".

(Committee vote: 5-0-0)

S. 239.

An act relating to the regulation of toxic substances.

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator Lyons for the Committee on Health and Welfare.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

The General Assembly finds that:

(1) There are more than 84,000 chemicals used commercially in the United States, and each year approximately 1,000 chemicals are added to the list of registered chemicals.

(2) More than 90 percent of the chemicals in commercial use in the United States have never been fully tested for potential impacts on human health or the environment.

(3) In 1976, the federal government passed the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) in an attempt to improve the regulation of chemicals in the United States. However, TSCA grandfathered approximately 62,000 chemicals from regulation under the Act. Consequently, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is not required to assess the risk of these chemicals. Since TSCA became law, EPA only has required testing for approximately 200 chemicals, and has banned or restricted the use of five of those chemicals. No chemicals have been banned in over 20 years.

(4) Biomonitoring studies reveal that toxic chemicals are in the bodies of people, including chemicals linked to cancer, brain and nervous damage, birth defects, developmental delays, and reproductive harm. Even newborn
babies have chemical body burdens, proving that they are being polluted while in the womb.

(5) A growing body of scientific evidence demonstrates that these chemical exposures are taking a toll on public health and are playing a role in the incidence and prevalence of many diseases and disorders, including leukemia, breast cancer, asthma, reproductive difficulties, birth defects, and autism.

(6) The societal and health care costs attributed to toxic exposures are extraordinary. More than $2.3 billion are spent every year just on the medical costs of cancer, asthma, and neurobehavioral disorders associated with toxic chemicals.

(7) Vermont has regulated the use of individual chemicals of concern, including lead, mercury, bisphenol A, phthalates, decabromodiphenyl ether, tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate, and tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate, but reviewing chemicals individually, one at a time, is inefficient and inadequate for addressing the issues posed by chemicals of concern.

(8) Other states and countries, including Maine, Washington, California, and the European Union, are already taking a more comprehensive approach to chemical regulation in consumer products, and chemical regulation in Vermont should harmonize with these efforts.

(9) The State has experience monitoring and regulating chemical use through the toxic use and hazardous waste reduction programs.

Sec. 2. 18 V.S.A. chapter 38A is added to read:

CHAPTER 38A. TOXIC CHEMICAL IDENTIFICATION

§ 1771. POLICY

It is the policy of the State of Vermont to protect public health and the environment by reducing exposure of its citizens and vulnerable populations, such as children, to toxic chemicals, particularly when safer alternatives exist.

§ 1772. DEFINITIONS

As used in this chapter:

(1) “Chemical” means a substance with a distinct molecular composition or a group of structurally related substances and includes the breakdown products of the substance or substances that form through decomposition, degradation, or metabolism.

(2) “Chemical of high concern” means a chemical identified by the Department pursuant to section 1773 of this title.
(3) “Consumer product” means any product that is regularly used or purchased to be used for personal, family, or household purposes. “Consumer product” shall not mean:

(A) a product primarily used or purchased for industrial or business use.

(B) a food or beverage or an additive to a food or beverage;

(C) a tobacco product;

(D) a pesticide regulated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency;

(E) a drug or biologic regulated by the federal Food and Drug Administration, or the packaging of a drug or biologic that is regulated by the federal Food and Drug Administration;

(F) an item sold for outdoor residential use that consists of a composite material made from polyester resins; or

(G) ammunition or components thereof, firearms, hunting or fishing equipment or components thereof, including lead pellets from air rifles.

(4) “Contaminant” means a chemical that is not an intentionally added ingredient in a product, and the source or sources of the chemical in the product are one or more of the following:

(A) a naturally occurring contaminant commonly found in raw materials that are frequently used to manufacture the product;

(B) air or water frequently used as a processing agent or an ingredient to manufacture the product;

(C) a contaminant commonly found in recycled materials that are frequently used to manufacture the product; or

(D) a processing reagent, processing reactant, by-product, or intermediate frequently used to promote certain chemical or physical changes during manufacturing, and the incidental retention of a residue is not desired or intended.

(5) “Manufacturer” means:

(A) any person who manufactures a consumer product or whose name is affixed to a consumer product or its packaging or advertising, and the consumer product is sold or offered for sale in Vermont; or

(B) any person who sells a consumer product to a retailer in Vermont when the person who manufactures the consumer product or whose name is
affixed to the consumer product or its packaging or advertising does not have a presence in the United States other than the sale or offer for sale of the manufacturer’s products.

(6) “Priority chemical” means a chemical that:

(A) is on the list of chemicals published by the Department as required under section 1773 of this title; and

(B) is found in a consumer product.

(7) “Practical quantification limit (PQL)” means the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured within specified limits of precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability during routine laboratory operating conditions.

§ 1773. CHEMICALS OF HIGH CONCERN

(a) List of chemicals. On or before July 1, 2016, the Commissioner of Health, in consultation with the Secretary of Natural Resources, shall adopt and publish a list of chemicals of high concern to human health or the environment. Beginning on July 1, 2018, and biennially thereafter, the Commissioner of Health shall review, revise, update, and reissue the list of chemicals of high concern to human health or the environment.

(b) Criteria. The Commissioner of Health shall designate a chemical as a chemical of high concern if it is a chemical that meets, on the basis of credible scientific evidence, both of the following criteria in subdivisions (1) and (2) of this subsection:

(1) The chemical has been demonstrated to:

(A) harm the normal development of a fetus or child or cause other developmental toxicity;

(B) cause cancer, genetic damage, or reproductive harm;

(C) disrupt the endocrine system;

(D) damage the nervous system, immune system, or organs or cause other systemic toxicity; or

(E) be persistent and bioaccumulative.

(2) The chemical has been found through:

(A) biomonitoring to be present in human blood, umbilical cord blood, breast milk, urine, or other bodily tissues or fluids;

(B) sampling and analysis to be present in household dust, indoor air, drinking water, or elsewhere in the home environment; or
(C) monitoring to be present in fish, wildlife, or the natural environment.

c) Resources for consideration. In determining the list of chemicals of concern, the Commissioner of Health may consider designations made by other states, the federal government, other countries, or other governmental agencies.

d) Publication of list. On or before July 1, 2016, the list of chemicals of concern shall be posted on the Department of Health website.

e) PQL value. A PQL value established under this chapter for individual chemicals shall depend on the analytical method used for each chemical. The PQL value shall be based on scientifically defensible, standard analytical methods as advised by guidance published by the Department.

§ 1774. CHEMICALS OF HIGH CONCERN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

(a)(1) A Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee is created for the purpose of advising the Commissioner of Health regarding:

(A) the listing of chemicals of high concern under section 1773 of this title; and

(B) the adoption of rules under section 1776 of this title regulating the sale or distribution of a consumer product containing a priority chemical.

(2) The Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee shall serve an advisory function and all authority and decisions to act under this chapter remain solely the authority of the Commissioner of Health.

(b)(1) The Commissioner of Health shall appoint the members of the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee established by this section. The Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee shall be composed of the following members:

(A) the Commissioner of Environmental Conservation or his or her designee;

(B) a representative of a public interest group in the State with experience in advocating for the regulation of toxic substances;

(C) a representative of an organization within the State with expertise in issues related to the health of children or pregnant women;

(D) two representatives of businesses in the State that use chemicals in a manufacturing or production process;

(E) a scientist with expertise in the toxicity of chemicals; and

(F) any other member appointed by the Commissioner of Health.
(2) The members of the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee shall serve staggered three-year terms. The Commissioner may remove members of the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee who fail to attend three consecutive meetings and may appoint replacements. The Commissioner may reappoint members to serve more than one term.

(3) Members of the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee whose participation is not supported through their employment or association shall receive per diem compensation pursuant to 32 V.S.A. § 1010 and reimbursement of travel expenses. A per diem authorized by this section shall be paid from the budget of the Department of Health.

(c) The Commissioner may convene the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee at any time, but no less frequently than at least once every other year.

(d) The Advisory Committee shall have an opportunity to review and comment on the list of chemicals of high concern required under section 1773 of this title or of any rule proposed under section 1776 of this title.

(e) A majority of the members of the Advisory Committee shall constitute a quorum, and all action shall be taken upon a majority vote of the members present and voting.

§ 1775. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION ON CHEMICALS OF HIGH CONCERN

(a) No later than one year after a chemical is placed on the list of chemicals of high concern under section 1773 of this title, and biennially thereafter, a manufacturer of a consumer product shall submit to the Department the notice described in subsection (b) of this section if a chemical of high concern is:

(1) added to a consumer product at a level above the PQL produced by the manufacturer; or

(2) present in a consumer product produced by the manufacturer as a contaminant at a concentration of 100 parts per million or greater.

(b) The Commissioner shall specify the format for submission of the notice required by subsection (a) of this section, provided that the required format shall be generally consistent with the format for submission of notice in other states with requirements substantially similar to the requirements of this section. Any notice submitted under subsection (a) shall contain the following information:

(1) the name of the chemical used or produced and its chemical abstracts service registry number:
(2) a description of the product or product component containing the substance;

(3) a description of the function of the chemical in the product;

(4) the amount of the chemical used in each unit of the product or product component;

(5) the name and address of the manufacturer of the consumer product and the name, address, and telephone number of a contact person for the manufacturer;

(6) any other information the manufacturer deems relevant to the appropriate use of the product; and

(7) any other information required by the Commissioner under rules adopted pursuant to 3 V.S.A. chapter 25.

(c) In order for the Department to obtain the information required in the notice described in subsection (b) of this section, the Department may enter into reciprocal data-sharing agreements with other states in which a manufacturer of consumer products is also required to disclose information related to chemicals of concern in consumer products.

(d) A manufacturer who submitted the notice required by subsection (a) of this section may at any time submit to the Department notice that a chemical of high concern has been removed from the manufacturer’s consumer product or that the manufacturer no longer sells, offers for sale, or distributes in the State the consumer product containing the chemical of high concern.

(e) Information submitted to or acquired by the Department under subsection (b), (c), or (d) of this section shall be exempt from public inspection and copying under 1 V.S.A. § 317(c)(9), provided that:

(1) the Department may share submitted or acquired information with other states under a reciprocal data-sharing agreement; and

(2) the Commissioner shall publish on the Department website submitted or acquired information in a summary or aggregate form that does not directly or indirectly identify individual manufacturers.

(f) A manufacturer required under this section to provide information on its use of a chemical of high concern shall, within 30 days of receipt of an invoice from the Department, pay a fee not to exceed $2,000.00 per chemical included on the list of chemicals of high concern. Fees collected under this subsection shall be deposited in the Chemicals of High Concern Fund for the purposes of that Fund.
§ 1776. PRIORITY CHEMICALS; PROHIBITION OF SALE; DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH RULEMAKING

(a) The Commissioner may, after consultation with the Secretary of Natural Resources and the Chemicals of High Concern Advisory Committee, designate by rule that one or more chemicals of high concern are a priority chemical under the criteria found in subsection 1773(b) of this chapter and require by rule that a consumer product containing the priority chemical be:

(1) prohibited from sale, offer for sale, or distribution in the State; or

(2) labeled prior to sale, offer for sale, or distribution in the State.

(b)(1) Beginning on July 1, 2017, and biennially thereafter, the Commissioner shall review at least two priority chemicals in consumer products for regulation under subsection (a) of this section.

(2) In adopting any rule under this section that prohibits the sale, offer for sale, or distribution in the State of a consumer product that contains a priority chemical, the Commissioner may consider whether a safer alternative to the priority chemical exists.

(c)(1) In any rule adopted under this section, the Commissioner shall adopt reasonable time frames for manufacturers, distributors, and retailers to comply with the requirements of the rules. No prohibition on sale or manufacture of a consumer product in the State shall take effect sooner than two years after the adoption of a rule adopted under this subsection unless the Commissioner determines that an earlier effective date is required to protect human health and the new effective date is established by rule.

(2) On or before July 1, 2017, the Commissioner of Health shall adopt by rule the process and procedure to be required when the Commissioner of Health adopts a rule under subsection (a) of this section. The rule shall provide:

(A) criteria for evaluation of priority chemicals in a consumer product, including criteria for whether the consumer product should be prohibited from sale, subject to labeling, or subject to no regulation;

(B) requirements or time frames for phasing out the sale or distribution of a consumer product containing a priority chemical, including whether retailers selling the consumer product shall be afforded an inventory exception;

(C) requirements or time frames afforded to a manufacturer to replace a priority chemical in a consumer product; and
(D) other criteria, requirements, time frames, processes, or procedures that the Commissioner determines are necessary for implementation of rulemaking under subsection (a) of this section.

(d) In addition to the public participation requirements of 3 V.S.A. chapter 25 and prior to submitting a rule authorized under this section to the Secretary of State under 3 V.S.A. § 838, the Commissioner shall make reasonable efforts to consult with interested parties within the State regarding any proposed prohibition of a priority chemical. The Commissioner may satisfy the consultation requirement of this section through the use of one or more workshops, focused work groups, dockets, meetings, or other forms of communication.

§ 1777. CHEMICALS OF HIGH CONCERN FUND

(a) The Chemicals of High Concern Fund is established in the State Treasury, separate and distinct from the General Fund, to be administered by the Commissioner of Health. Interest earned by the Fund shall be credited to the Fund. Monies in the Fund shall be made available to the Department of Health and the Agency of Natural Resources to pay costs incurred in administration of the requirements of this chapter.

(b) The Chemicals of High Concern Fund shall consist of:

(1) monies accepted by the Department pursuant to subsection (a) of this section;

(2) fees and charges collected under section 1775 of this chapter;

(3) private gifts, bequests, grants, or donations made to the State from any public or private source for the purposes for which the Fund was established; and

(4) such sums as may be appropriated by the General Assembly.

§ 1778. VIOLATIONS; ENFORCEMENT

A violation of this chapter shall be considered a violation of the Consumer Protection Act, in 9 V.S.A. chapter 63. The Attorney General has the same authority to make rules, conduct civil investigations, enter into assurances of discontinuance, and bring civil actions and private parties have the same rights and remedies as provided under 9 V.S.A. chapter 63, subchapter 1.

Sec. 3. REPORT TO GENERAL ASSEMBLY; TOXIC CHEMICAL IDENTIFICATION

(a) On or before January 15, 2015, and biennially thereafter, the Commissioner of Health shall submit to the Senate Committee on Health and Welfare, the House Committee on Human Service, the House Committee on
Ways and Means, the Senate Committee on Finance, and the Senate and House Committees on Appropriations, a report concerning implementation, administration, and financing by the Department of Health of the toxic chemical identification requirements of 18 V.S.A. chapter 38A. The report shall include:

(1) any updates to the list of chemicals of high concern required under 10 V.S.A. § 1773;

(2) the number of manufacturers providing notice under 10 V.S.A. § 1775 regarding whether a consumer product includes a chemical of high concern;

(3) the number of priority chemicals in consumer products identified or regulated by the Department of Health under 10 V.S.A. § 1776;

(4) an estimate of the annual cost to the Department of Health to implement the toxic chemical identification program;

(5) the number of Department of Health employees needed to implement the toxic chemical identification program;

(6) an estimate of additional funding that the Department may require to implement the toxic chemical identification program; and

(7) a recommendation of how the State should collaborate with other states in implementing the requirements of the toxic chemical identification program.

(b) As part of the report submitted on or before January 15, 2015, the Commissioner of Health shall recommend a process or method of informing consumers in the State of the presence of a priority chemical in a consumer product. A recommendation under this subsection may include recommended legislative changes, rulemaking, public notice requirements, or reference to other publicly available resources that identify priority chemicals in consumer products.

Sec. 4. 10 V.S.A. § 1775(e) is amended to read

(e)(1) Information submitted to or acquired by the Department under subsection (b), (c), or (d) of this section shall be exempt from public inspection and copying under 1 V.S.A. § 317(e)(9), provided that:

(1) the Department may share submitted or acquired information with other states under a reciprocal data-sharing agreement; and

(2) the Commissioner shall publish on the Department website submitted or acquired information in a summary or aggregate form that does
not directly or indirectly identify individual manufacturers available for public inspection and copying, provided that:

(A) Information protected under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act, as codified under 9 V.S.A. chapter 143, or under the trade secret exemption under 1 V.S.A. § 317(c)(9) shall be exempt from public inspection and copying under the Public Records Act;

(B) The Commissioner may publish information confidential under this subsection in a summary or aggregated form that does not directly or indirectly identify individual manufacturers.

(2) The Commissioner may require, as a part of a report or notice submitted under this chapter, that a manufacturer submit a notice or report that does not contain trade secret information and is available for public inspection and review.

Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATES

(a) This section and Secs. 1 (findings), 2 (toxic chemical identification program), and 3 (Department of Health report) shall take effect on passage.

(b) Sec. 4 (trade secret information) shall take effect on July 1, 2018.

(Committee vote: 5-0-0)

S. 281.

An act relating to vision riders and a choice of providers for vision and eye care services.

Reported favorably with recommendation of amendment by Senator Mullin for the Committee on Finance.

The Committee recommends that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 8 V.S.A. § 4088j is added to read:

§ 4088j. CHOICE OF PROVIDERS FOR VISION CARE AND MEDICAL EYE CARE SERVICES

(a) To the extent a health insurance plan provides coverage for vision care or medical eye care services, it shall cover those services when provided by a physician licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 23, an optometrist licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 30, or an osteopathic physician licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 33, provided the health care professional is acting within his or her authorized scope of practice and participates in the plan’s network.
(b) A health insurance plan shall impose no greater co-payment, coinsurance, or other cost-sharing amount for services when provided by an optometrist than for the same service when provided by a physician or osteopathic physician.

c) A health insurance plan shall provide to a licensed health care professional acting within his or her scope of practice the same level of reimbursement or other compensation for providing vision care and medical eye care services that are within the lawful scope of practice of the professions of medicine, optometry, and osteopathy, regardless of whether the health care professional is a physician, optometrist, or osteopathic physician.

d)(1) A health insurer shall permit a licensed optometrist to participate in plans or contracts providing for vision care or medical eye care to the same extent as it does a licensed physician or osteopathic physician.

(2) A health insurer shall not require a licensed optometrist to provide discounted materials benefits or to participate as a provider in another medical or vision care plan or contract as a condition or requirement for the optometrist’s participation as a provider in any medical or vision care plan or contract.

e)(1) An agreement between a health insurer or an entity that writes vision insurance and an optometrist or ophthalmologist for the provision of vision services to plan members or subscribers in connection with coverage under a stand-alone vision plan or other health insurance plan shall not require that an optometrist or ophthalmologist provide services or materials at a fee limited or set by the plan or insurer unless the services or materials are reimbursed as covered services under the contract.

(2) An optometrist or ophthalmologist shall not charge more for services and materials that are noncovered services under a vision plan than his or her usual and customary rate for those services and materials.

(3) Reimbursement paid by a vision plan for covered services and materials shall be reasonable and shall not provide nominal reimbursement in order to claim that services and materials are covered services.

(f) As used in this section:

(1) “Contractual discount” means a percentage reduction from an optometrist’s or ophthalmologist’s usual and customary rate for covered services and materials required under a participating provider agreement.

(2) “Covered services” means services and materials for which reimbursement from a vision plan or other health insurance plan is provided by a member’s or subscriber’s plan contract, or for which a reimbursement would
be available but for the application of the member’s or subscriber’s contractual limitations of deductibles, co-payments, or coinsurance.

(3) “Health insurance plan” means any health insurance policy or health benefit plan offered by a health insurer or a subcontractor of a health insurer, as well as Medicaid and any other public health care assistance program offered or administered by the State or by any subdivision or instrumentality of the State. The term includes vision plans but does not include policies or plans providing coverage for a specified disease or other limited benefit coverage.

(4) “Health insurer” shall have the same meaning as in 18 V.S.A. § 9402.

(5) “Materials” includes lenses, devices containing lenses, prisms, lens treatments and coatings, contact lenses, and prosthetic devices to correct, relieve, or treat defects or abnormal conditions of the human eye or its adnexa.

Sec. 2. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2014.

(Committee vote: 6-0-1)

CONFIRMATIONS

The following appointments will be considered by the Senate, as a group, under suspension of the Rules, as moved by the President pro tempore, for confirmation together and without debate, by consent thereby given by the Senate. However, upon request of any senator, any appointment may be singled out and acted upon separately by the Senate, with consideration given to the report of the Committee to which the appointment was referred, and with full debate; and further, all appointments for the positions of Secretaries of Agencies, Commissioners of Departments, Judges, Magistrates, and members of the Public Service Board shall be fully and separately acted upon.

Patti Pallito of Richmond – Member of the State Police Advisory Commission – By Sen. French for the Committee on Government Operations. (2/19/14)

Shirley A. Jefferson of South Royalton – Member of the State Police Advisory Commission – By Sen. McAllister for the Committee on Government Operations. (2/19/14)
FOR INFORMATION ONLY

CROSSOVER DEADLINES

The Joint Rules Committee established the following Crossover deadlines:

(1) All Senate bills must be reported out of the last committee of reference (including the Committees on Appropriations and Finance, except as provided below in (2) and the exceptions listed below) on or before **Friday, March 14, 2014**, and filed with the Secretary of the Senate so that they may be placed on the Calendar for Notice the next legislative day.

(2) All Senate bills referred pursuant to Senate Rule 31 to the Committees on Appropriations and Finance must be reported out by the last of those committees on or before **Friday, March 21, 2014**, and filed with the Secretary of the Senate so that they may be placed on the Calendar for Notice the next legislative day.

These deadlines may be waived for any bill or committee only with the consent of the Committee on Rules.

**Note:** The deadlines were determined by the Joint Rules Committee. The Senate will not act on House bills that do not meet these crossover deadlines, without the consent of the Senate Rules Committee.

Exceptions to the foregoing deadlines include the major money bills (Appropriations “Big Bill”, Transportation Spending Bill, Capital Construction Bill, and Miscellaneous Tax Bill).