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Subject: Consumer affairs; food labeling; genetic engineering

Statement of purpose of bill as introduced: This bill proposes to provide that food is misbranded if it is entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering and it is not labeled as genetically engineered.

An act relating to the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering

It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

The General Assembly finds and declares that:

(1) U.S. federal law does not provide for the necessary and satisfactory regulation of the safety and labeling of food that contains genetically engineered ingredients, as evidenced by the following:

(A) U.S. federal labeling and food and drug laws do not require manufacturers of food produced from genetically engineered ingredients to label such food as genetically engineered.

(B) As indicated by the testimony of Dr. Robert Merker, a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Consumer Safety Officer, the FDA does not have statutory authority to require labeling of foods produced with genetic engineering.
(C) The FDA has adopted a policy regarding the labeling of food produced from genetic engineering based on a conclusion that these products are generally regarded as safe with no material difference from conventional products. The FDA does not require genetically engineered foods to be labeled as such.

(D) Instead of specifically regulating the safety and labeling of food produced from genetic engineering, the FDA regulates genetically engineered foods in the same way it regulates foods developed by traditional plant breeding, but, according to Dr. James Maryanski, FDA biotechnology coordinator (1985−2008), the decision to regulate genetically engineered food in this manner was a political decision not based in science.

(E) Under its regulatory framework, the FDA does not test the safety of genetically engineered foods independently. Instead, manufacturers submit safety research and studies, the majority of which the manufacturers finance or conduct.

(F) There is a lack of consensus regarding the validity of the research or science surrounding genetically engineered foods, or both. The result is public uncertainty about the nutrition, health, safety, environmental impacts, and the proliferation of genetic engineering technology that is not fully understood or proven to be safe.
(G) There have been no long-term studies in the United States that examine the safety of human consumption of genetically engineered foods.

(2) Genetically engineered ingredients are increasingly present in foods available for human consumption, as evidenced by the fact that:

(A) an estimated 70 to 80 percent of the processed foods sold in the United States have at least one genetically engineered ingredient; and

(B) according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in 2011, genetically engineered soybeans accounted for 94 percent of U.S. soybean acreage, genetically engineered corn accounted for 88 percent of U.S. corn acreage, and genetically engineered sugar beets accounted for 95 percent of U.S. sugar beet acreage.

(3) Genetically engineered foods have an effect on health, safety, agriculture, and the environment, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Independent studies in laboratory animals indicate that the ingestion of genetically engineered foods may lead to health problems such as gastrointestinal damage, liver and kidney damage, reproductive problems, immune system interference, and allergic responses.

(B) Trends in commodity agricultural production practices are toward monocultured crop production, which may result in genetic homogeneity, loss of biodiversity, and increased vulnerability of crops to pests, diseases, and
variable climate conditions. Genetically engineered crops are one tool used in commodity agricultural production.

(C) Genetically engineered crops that include pesticides may adversely affect populations of butterflies and other nontarget insects.

(D) Organic food certification, which is generally construed not to include ingredients produced from genetic engineering, can be adversely affected by contamination from genetically engineered crops.

(E) Cross-pollination from genetically engineered crops may have an adverse effect on wild plant species.

(F) The proliferation of patented genetically engineered crops reduces the options of farmers who may want to save their own seed.

(4) Vermont and other states do have the authority to regulate the labeling of genetically engineered foods as evidenced by the following:

(A) Under the Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and the U.S. Supreme Court’s ruling in Florida Lime & Avocado Growers, Inc. v. Paul, 373 U.S. 132 (1963), states may regulate the retail sale of food in the interest of consumers when such regulation does not conflict with federal law.

(B) Under Holk v. Snapple Beverage Co., 575 F.3d 329 (3d Cir. 2009), the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the FDA policy for labels using the word “natural” do not preempt states from regulating the use of the word “natural.”
(C) The Supreme Court, in *Milavetz, Gallop & Milavetz v. United States*, 130 S.Ct. 1324 (2010), reaffirmed the proposition, first expressed in *Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Counsel*, 471 U.S. 626 (1985), that “an advertiser’s [First Amendment] rights are adequately protected as long as disclosure requirements are reasonably related to the State’s interest in preventing deception of consumers.”

(D) Under current First Amendment jurisprudence, expressed in *National Electric Manufacturers Assn. v. Sorrell*, 272 F.3d 104 (2d Cir. 2001), states are free to compel the disclosure of factual commercial speech as long as the means employed by the State are rationally related to the State’s legitimate interest.

(E) The decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in *International Dairy Foods Ass’n v. Amestoy*, 92 F.3d 67 (2d Cir. 1996), is limited expressly to cases in which a state disclosure requirement is supported by no interest other than gratification of consumer curiosity.

(5) For multiple personal, health, religious, and economic reasons, the citizens of Vermont desire, require, and necessitate that food produced from genetic engineering be labeled as such, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Public opinion polls conducted by the Center for Rural Studies at the University of Vermont indicate that a large majority of Vermonters want foods produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such.
(B) Given that 6 V.S.A. § 641(9) defines “genetically engineered seed” as “seed produced using a variety of methods . . . used to modify genetically organisms or influence their growth and development by means that are not possible under natural conditions or processes,” labeling foods produced with genetic engineering as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all natural,” or other descriptors of similar substance is inherently misleading and poses a risk of confusing and deceiving consumers, and conflicts with the general perception that “natural” foods are not genetically engineered.

(C) Vermont citizens with certain religious beliefs object to producing foods using genetic engineering because of objections to tampering with the genetic makeup of life forms and the rapid introduction and proliferation of genetically engineered organisms and, therefore, need food to be labeled as genetically engineered in order to conform to religious beliefs.

(D) Requiring that foods produced through genetic engineering be labeled as such will create additional market opportunities for those producers who are not certified as organic and whose products are not produced from genetic engineering. Such additional market opportunities will contribute to the vibrant and diversified agricultural community of Vermont.

(E) Labeling gives consumers information they can use to make informed decisions about what products they would prefer to purchase.
(f) On March 12, 2012, the Vermont Congressional Delegation, along with 52 other members of Congress, sent a letter to the Honorable Margaret Hamburg, Commissioner of the FDA, asking that the FDA require labeling of food produced with genetic engineering.

(6) Because both the FDA and the U.S. Congress have failed to require the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering, the State should exercise its authority to require food produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such in order to serve the legitimate interests of the State to prevent inadvertent consumer deception, promote food safety, respect religious beliefs, protect the environment, and promote economic development.

Sec. 2. 18 V.S.A. chapter 82, subchapter 3 is added to read:

Subchapter 3. Labeling of Food Produced with Genetic Engineering

§ 4091. PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this chapter to:

(1) Consumer confusion and deception. Reduce consumer confusion and deception and promote the disclosure of factual information on food labels to allow consumers to make informed decisions.

(2) Food safety. Promote food safety by allowing consumers to make informed dietary decisions when purchasing food, since genetically engineered food is considered to be recognized generally as safe by the U.S. Food and
Drug Administration despite a lack of consensus about that fact in the scientific community, and since scientific evidence indicates that foods produced using genetic engineering pose potential food safety and health issues related to allergenicity, antibiotic resistance, immune response, reproductive problems, and liver and kidney damage.

(3) Protecting religious and cultural practice. Provide consumers with data from which they may make informed decisions for personal, religious, moral, cultural, or ethical reasons.

(4) Environmental impacts. Assist consumers in making informed decisions about food purchases that have potential effects on the environment, including:

(A) displacement of native flora and fauna;

(B) transfer of unnatural deoxyribonucleic acid to wild relatives and organic crops;

(C) creation of herbicide-resistant “super weeds” and pesticide-resistant insects; and

(D) ecosystem disruptions such as loss of biodiversity, increased herbicide and pesticide use, and adverse effects on nontarget insects such as butterflies.

(5) Promoting economic development. Create additional market opportunities for those producers who are not certified organic and whose
products are not produced using genetic engineering and allow consumers to make informed purchasing decisions.

§ 4092. DEFINITIONS

As used in this subchapter:

(1) “Enzyme” means a protein that catalyzes chemical reactions of other substances without itself being destroyed or altered upon completion of the reactions.

(2) “Genetic engineering” means a food or food ingredient that is produced from an organism or organisms in which the genetic material has been changed through the application of:

(A) in vitro nucleic acid techniques, including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) techniques and the direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles; or

(B) fusion of cells (including protoplast fusion) or hybridization techniques that overcome natural physiological, reproductive, or recombination barriers, where the donor cells or protoplasts do not fall within the same taxonomic group, in a way that does not occur by natural multiplication or natural recombination.

(3) “In vitro nucleic acid techniques” means techniques, including recombinant DNA or ribonucleic acid techniques, that use vector systems and techniques involving the direct introduction into the organisms of hereditary
materials prepared outside the organisms such as micro-injection,
chemoporation, electroporation, micro-encapsulation, and liposome fusion.

(4) “Organism” means any biological entity capable of replication,
reproduction, or transferring of genetic material.

(5) “Processed food” means any food other than a raw agricultural
commodity and includes any food produced from a raw agricultural
commodity that has been subjected to processing such as canning, smoking,
pressing, cooking, freezing, dehydration, fermentation, or milling.

(6) “Processing aid” means:

(A) a substance that is added to a food during the processing of the
food but that is removed in some manner from the food before the food is
packaged in its finished form;

(B) a substance that is added to a food during processing, is
converted into constituents normally present in the food, and does not
significantly increase the amount of the constituents naturally found in the
food; or

(C) a substance that is added to a food for its technical or functional
effect in the processing but is present in the finished food at levels that do not
have any technical or functional effect in that finished food.
(7) “Raw agricultural commodity” means any food in its raw or natural state. It includes any fruit that is washed, colored, or otherwise treated in its unpeeled natural form prior to marketing.

§ 4093. LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

(a) Except as set forth in section 4094 of this title, food shall be labeled as produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering if it is a product:

(1) offered for retail sale in Vermont; and

(2) entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering.

(b) If a food is required to be labeled under subsection (a) of this section, it shall be labeled as follows:

(1) in the case of a raw agricultural commodity, on the package offered for retail sale, with the clear and conspicuous words, “produced from genetic engineering” on the front of the package of the commodity or in the case of any such commodity that is not separately packaged or labeled, on a label appearing on the retail store shelf or bin in which the commodity is displayed for sale; or

(2) in the case of any processed food, in clear and conspicuous language on the front or back of the package of the food, with the words “partially produced with genetic engineering” or “may be partially produced with genetic engineering.”
Except as set forth under section 4094 of this title, a food produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering shall not be labeled on the product, in signage, or in advertising as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all natural,” or any words of similar import that would have a tendency to mislead a consumer.

§ 4094. EXEMPTIONS

The following foods shall not be subject to the labeling requirements of section 4093 of this title:

(1) Food consisting entirely of or derived entirely from an animal which has not itself been produced with genetic engineering, regardless of whether the animal has been fed or injected with any food or drug produced with genetic engineering.

(2) A raw agricultural commodity or food derived from it that has been grown, raised, or produced without the knowing and intentional use of food or seed produced with genetic engineering. Food will be deemed to be as described in this subdivision only if the person otherwise responsible for complying with the requirements of subsection 4093(a) of this title with respect to a raw agricultural commodity or food obtains, from whomever sold the commodity or food to that person, a sworn statement that the commodity or food has not been knowingly or intentionally produced with genetic engineering and has been segregated from and has not been knowingly or
intentionally commingled with food that may have been produced with genetic engineering at any time. In providing such a sworn statement, any person may rely on a sworn statement from his or her own supplier that contains the affirmation set forth in this subdivision.

(3) Any processed food which would be subject to subsection 4093(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more processing aids or enzymes produced with genetic engineering.

(4) Any beverage that is subject to the provisions of Title 7.

(5) Until July 1, 2019, any processed food that would be subject to subsection 4093(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more ingredients that have been produced with genetic engineering, provided that:

(A) no single such ingredient accounts for more than one-half of 0.9 percent of the total weight of the processed food; and

(B) the processed food does not contain more than ten such ingredients.

(6) Food that an independent organization has determined has not been knowingly and intentionally produced from or commingled with food or seed produced with genetic engineering, provided that the determination has been made pursuant to a sampling and testing procedure approved in regulations adopted by the Department. No sampling procedure shall be approved by the Department unless sampling is done according to a statistically valid sampling
plan consistent with principles recommended by internationally recognized
sources such as the International Standards Organization or the Grant and Feed
Trade Association. No testing procedure shall be approved by the Department
unless:

(A) it is consistent with the most recent “Guidelines on Performance
Criteria and Validation of Methods for Detection, Identification and
Quantification of Specific DNA Sequences and Specific Proteins in Foods”
(CAC/GL 74 (2010)), published by the Codex Alimentarius Commission; and

(B) it does not rely on testing of processed foods in which no DNA is
detectable.

(7) Food that has been lawfully certified to be labeled, marketed, and
offered for sale as “organic” pursuant to the federal Organic Food Products Act
of 1990 and the regulations promulgated pursuant thereto by the U.S.
Department of Agriculture.

(8) Food that is not packaged for retail sale and that either:

(A) is a processed food prepared and intended for immediate human
consumption; or

(B) is served, sold, or otherwise provided in any restaurant or other
food establishment, as defined in section 4301 of this title, that is primarily
engaged in the sale of food prepared and intended for immediate human
consumption.
(9) Medical food, as that term is defined in 21 U.S.C. § 360ee(b)(3).

§ 4095. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this subchapter or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid or in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or in violation of the Constitution or laws of Vermont, the invalidity or the violation shall not affect other provisions of this section which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, the provisions of this section are severable.

§ 4096. PENALTIES

A person who violates the requirements of this subchapter shall be subject to penalty under section 4054 of this title. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, no violation of this subchapter shall give rise to any cause of action under 9 V.S.A. chapter 63.

Sec. 3. 18 V.S.A. § 4051 is amended to read:

§ 4051. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this chapter:

* * *

(2) The term “board” means the state board of health. “Commissioner” means the Commissioner of Health.

* * *
§ 4053. REGULATIONS AND HEARINGS

(a) The authority to enforce this chapter is vested in the board Commissioner. The board Commissioner shall from time to time for the efficient enforcement of this chapter promulgate regulations after public hearing following due notice at least ten days in advance of the hearings to interested persons consistent with 3 V.S.A. chapter 25.

(b) In addition to the other remedies provided in this chapter, the board Commissioner is hereby authorized through the attorney general Attorney General or state’s attorneys to apply to the civil or criminal division of any superior court, and the court shall have jurisdiction upon hearing and for cause shown, to grant a temporary or permanent injunction restraining any person from violating any provision of this chapter, irrespective of whether or not there exists an adequate remedy at law.

* * *

(d) Before any violation of this chapter is reported for institution of a criminal proceeding, the person against whom such proceeding is contemplated may be given appropriate notice and an opportunity to present his or her views to the board Commissioner, either orally or in writing, with regard to the contemplated proceeding. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as requiring the board Commissioner to report for prosecution or for
the institution of libel proceedings minor violations of the chapter whenever he
or she believes that the public interest will be best served by a suitable notice
of warning in writing.
Sec. 5. 18 V.S.A. § 4060 is amended to read:
§ 4060. MISBRANDED FOOD
   A food shall be deemed to be misbranded:
   * * *
   (13) If it is labeled in violation of section 4093 of this title.
Sec. 6. 18 V.S.A. § 4069 is amended to read:
§ 4069. REGULATIONS; AUTHORITY
   (a) The authority to promulgate adopt regulations for the efficient
   enforcement of this chapter is hereby vested in the board Commissioner. The
   board Commissioner may make the regulations promulgated adopted under
   this chapter conform, insofar as practicable, with those promulgated under the
   federal act;
   (b) Hearings authorized or required by this chapter shall be conducted by
   the board Commissioner or such officer, agent, or employee as the board
   Commissioner may designate for the purpose;
   (c) Before promulgating adopting any regulations contemplated by section
   4058; 4060(10); 4061; 4064(d), (f), (g), (h), and (k); or 4068(b) of this title, the
   board Commissioner shall give appropriate notice of the proposal and of the
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Sec. 7. STATUTORY REVISION

In its statutory revision capacity under 2 V.S.A. § 424, the Office of Legislative Council shall, where appropriate, replace the term “Board of Health” in 18 V.S.A chapter 82 wherever it appears with the term “Commissioner of Health” or “Commissioner.”

Sec. 8. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2014.
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(A) U.S. federal labeling and food and drug laws do not require manufacturers of food produced with genetic engineering to label such food as genetically engineered.

(B) As indicated by the testimony of Dr. Robert Merker, a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Supervisory Consumer Safety Officer, the FDA has statutory authority to require labeling of food products, but does not consider genetically engineered foods to be materially different from their traditional counterparts to justify such labeling.

(C) No formal FDA policy on the labeling of genetically engineered foods has been adopted. Currently, the FDA only provides nonbinding guidance on the labeling of genetically engineered foods, including a 1992 draft guidance regarding the need for the FDA to regulate labeling of food produced from genetic engineering and a 2001 draft guidance for industry regarding voluntary labeling of food produced from genetic engineering.

(D) The FDA regulates genetically engineered foods in the same way it regulates foods developed by traditional plant breeding.

(E) Under its regulatory framework, the FDA does not independently test the safety of genetically engineered foods. Instead, manufacturers may submit safety research and studies, the majority of which the manufacturers finance or conduct. The FDA reviews the manufacturers' research and reports through a voluntary safety consultation, and issues a letter to the manufacturer.
acknowledging the manufacturer’s conclusion regarding the safety of the
genetically engineered food product being tested.

(F) The FDA does not use meta-studies or other forms of statistical
analysis to verify that the studies it reviews are not biased by financial or
professional conflicts of interest.

(G) There is a lack of consensus regarding the validity of the
research and science surrounding the safety of genetically engineered foods,
as indicated by the fact that there are peer-reviewed studies published in
international scientific literature showing negative, neutral, and positive
health results.

(H) There have been no long-term or epidemiologic studies in the
United States that examine the safety of human consumption of genetically
engineered foods.

(I) Independent scientists are limited from conducting safety and
risk-assessment research of genetically engineered materials used in food
products due to industry restrictions on the use for research of those
genetically engineered materials used in food products.

(2) Genetically engineered foods are increasingly available for human
consumption, as evidenced by the fact that:

(A) it is estimated that up to 80 percent of the processed foods sold in
the United States are at least partially produced from genetic engineering; and
(B) according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in 2012, genetically engineered soybeans accounted for 93 percent of U.S. soybean acreage, and genetically engineered corn accounted for 88 percent of U.S. corn acreage.

(3) Genetically engineered foods pose potential risks to health, safety, agriculture, and the environment, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Independent studies in laboratory animals indicate that the ingestion of genetically engineered foods may lead to health problems such as gastrointestinal damage, liver and kidney damage, reproductive problems, immune system interference, and allergic responses.

(B) The genetic engineering of plants and animals may cause unintended consequences. The use of genetic engineering to manipulate genes by inserting them into organisms is an imprecise process. Mixing plant, animal, bacteria, and viral genes through genetic engineering in combinations that cannot occur in nature may produce results that lead to adverse health or environmental consequences.

(C) The use of genetically engineered crops is increasing in commodity agricultural production practices. Genetically engineered crops promote large-scale monoculture production, which contributes to genetic homogeneity, loss of biodiversity, and increased vulnerability of crops to pests, diseases, and variable climate conditions.
(D) Genetically engineered crops that include pesticides may adversely affect populations of bees, butterflies, and other nontarget insects.

(E) Cross-pollination or cross-contamination by genetically engineered crops may contaminate organic crops and prevent organic farmers and organic food producers from qualifying for organic certification under federal law.

(F) Cross-pollination from genetically engineered crops may have an adverse effect on native flora and fauna. The transfer of unnatural deoxyribonucleic acid to wild relatives can lead to displacement of those native plants, and in turn, displacement of the native fauna dependent on those wild varieties.

(4) For multiple health, personal, cultural, religious, environmental, and economic reasons, the State of Vermont finds that food produced from genetic engineering should be labeled as such, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Public opinion polls conducted by the Center for Rural Studies at the University of Vermont indicate that a large majority of Vermonters want foods produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such.

(B) Because genetic engineering, as regulated by this act, involves the direct injection of genes into cells, the fusion of cells, or the hybridization of genes that does not occur in nature, labeling foods produced with genetic engineering as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all
“natural,” or other similar descriptors is inherently misleading, poses a risk of confusing or deceiving consumers, and conflicts with the general perception that “natural” foods are not genetically engineered.

(C) Persons with certain religious beliefs object to producing foods using genetic engineering because of objections to tampering with the genetic makeup of life forms and the rapid introduction and proliferation of genetically engineered organisms and, therefore, need food to be labeled as genetically engineered in order to conform to religious beliefs and comply with dietary restrictions.

(D) Requiring that foods produced through genetic engineering be labeled as such will create additional market opportunities for those producers who are not certified as organic and whose products are not produced from genetic engineering. Such additional market opportunities will also contribute to vibrant and diversified agricultural communities.

(E) Labeling gives consumers information they can use to make informed decisions about what products they would prefer to purchase.

(5) Because both the FDA and the U.S. Congress do not require the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering, the State should require food produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such in order to serve the interests of the State, notwithstanding limited exceptions, to prevent inadvertent consumer deception, prevent potential risks to human health.
promote food safety, protect cultural and religious practices, protect the environment, and promote economic development.

Sec. 2. 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A is added to read:

CHAPTER 82A: LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

§ 3041. PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this chapter to:

(1) Public health and food safety. Promote food safety and protect public health by enabling consumers to avoid the potential risks associated with genetically engineered foods, and serve as a risk management tool enabling consumers, physicians, and scientists to identify unintended health effects resulting from the consumption of genetically engineered foods.

(2) Environmental impacts. Assist consumers who are concerned about the potential effects of genetic engineering on the environment to make informed purchasing decisions.

(3) Consumer confusion and deception. Reduce and prevent consumer confusion and deception and promote the disclosure of factual information on food labels to allow consumers to make informed decisions.

(4) Promoting economic development. Create additional market opportunities for those producers who are not certified organic and whose
products are not produced using genetic engineering and to enable consumers to make informed purchasing decisions.

(5) Protecting religious and cultural practice. Provide consumers with data from which they may make informed decisions for personal, religious, moral, cultural, or ethical reasons.

§ 3042. DEFINITIONS

As used in this chapter:

(1) “Consumer” shall have the same meaning as in subsection 2451a(a) of this title.

(2) “Enzyme” means a protein that catalyzes chemical reactions of other substances without itself being destroyed or altered upon completion of the reactions.

(3) “Genetic engineering” is a process by which a food is produced from an organism or organisms in which the genetic material has been changed through the application of:

(A) in vitro nucleic acid techniques, including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) techniques and the direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles; or

(B) fusion of cells (including protoplast fusion) or hybridization techniques that overcome natural physiological, reproductive, or recombination barriers, where the donor cells or protoplasts do not fall within
the same taxonomic group, in a way that does not occur by natural
multiplication or natural recombination.

(4) “In vitro nucleic acid techniques” means techniques, including
recombinant DNA or ribonucleic acid techniques, that use vector systems and
techniques involving the direct introduction into the organisms of hereditary
materials prepared outside the organisms such as micro-injection,
chemoporation, electroporation, micro-encapsulation, and liposome fusion.

(5) “Organism” means any biological entity capable of replication,
reproduction, or transferring of genetic material.

(6) “Processed food” means any food other than a raw agricultural
commodity and includes any food produced from a raw agricultural
commodity that has been subjected to processing such as canning, smoking,
pressing, cooking, freezing, dehydration, fermentation, or milling.

(7) “Processing aid” means:

(A) a substance that is added to a food during the processing of the
food but that is removed in some manner from the food before the food is
packaged in its finished form;

(B) a substance that is added to a food during processing, is
converted into constituents normally present in the food, and does not
significantly increase the amount of the constituents naturally found in the
food; or
(C) a substance that is added to a food for its technical or functional effect in the processing but is present in the finished food at levels that do not have any technical or functional effect in that finished food.

(8) “Raw agricultural commodity” means any food in its raw or natural state, including any fruit that is washed, colored, or otherwise treated in its unpeeled natural form prior to marketing.

§ 3043. LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

(a) Except as set forth in section 3044 of this title, food purchased by a retailer after July 1, 2015 shall be labeled as produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering if it is a product:

(1) offered for retail sale in Vermont; and

(2) entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering.

(b) If a food is required to be labeled under subsection (a) of this section, it shall be labeled as follows:

(1) in the case of a raw agricultural commodity, on the package offered for retail sale, with the clear and conspicuous words, “produced with genetic engineering” or “genetically engineered” on the front of the package of the commodity or in the case of any such commodity that is not separately packaged or labeled, on a label appearing on the retail store shelf or bin in which the commodity is displayed for sale; or
(2) in the case of any processed food that contains a product or products of genetic engineering, in clear and conspicuous language on the front or back of the package of the food, with the words “partially produced with genetic engineering” or “may be partially produced with genetic engineering.”

(c) Except as set forth under section 3044 of this title, a food produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering shall not be labeled on the product, in signage, or in advertising as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all natural,” or any words of similar import that would have a tendency to mislead a consumer.

(d) This law shall not be construed to require:

(1) the listing or identification of any ingredient or ingredients that were genetically engineered; or

(2) the placement of the term “genetically engineered” immediately preceding any common name or primary product descriptor of a food.

§ 3044. EXEMPTIONS

The following foods shall not be subject to the labeling requirements of section 3043 of this title:

(1) Food consisting entirely of or derived entirely from an animal which has not itself been produced with genetic engineering, regardless of whether the animal has been fed or injected with any food or drug produced with genetic engineering.
(2) A raw agricultural commodity or processed food derived from it that has been grown, raised, or produced without the knowing and intentional use of food or seed produced with genetic engineering. Food will be deemed to be as described in this subdivision only if the person otherwise responsible for complying with the requirements of subsection 3043(a) of this title with respect to a raw agricultural commodity or processed food obtains, from whomever sold the commodity or food to that person, a sworn statement that the commodity or food has not been knowingly or intentionally produced with genetic engineering and has been segregated from and has not been knowingly or intentionally commingled with food that may have been produced with genetic engineering at any time. In providing such a sworn statement, any person may rely on a sworn statement from his or her own supplier that contains the affirmation set forth in this subdivision.

(3) Any processed food which would be subject to subsection 3043(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more processing aids or enzymes produced with genetic engineering.

(4) Any beverage that is subject to the provisions of Title 7.

(5) Until July 1, 2019, any processed food that would be subject to subsection 3043(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more materials that have been produced with genetic engineering, provided that the
genetically engineered materials in the aggregate do not account for more
than nine-tenths of one percent of the total weight of the processed food.

(6) Food that an independent organization has verified has not been
knowingly and intentionally produced from or commingled with food or seed
produced with genetic engineering. The Office of the Attorney General, after
consultation with the Department of Health, shall approve by procedure the
independent organizations from which verification shall be acceptable under
this section.

(7) Food that has been lawfully certified to be labeled, marketed, and
offered for sale as “organic” pursuant to the federal Organic Food Products
Act of 1990 and the regulations promulgated pursuant thereto by the U.S.
Department of Agriculture.

(8) Food that is not packaged for retail sale and that is:

(A) a processed food prepared and intended for immediate human
consumption; or

(B) served, sold, or otherwise provided in any restaurant or other
food establishment, as defined in 18 V.S.A. § 4301, that is primarily engaged in
the sale of food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption.

(9) Medical food, as that term is defined in 21 U.S.C. § 360ee(b)(3).

§ 3045. RETAILER LIABILITY
(a) A retailer shall not be liable for the failure to label a processed food as required by section 3043 of this title, unless:

(1) the retailer is the producer or manufacturer of the processed food;

or

(2) the retailer sells the processed food under a brand it owns, but the food was produced or manufactured by another producer or manufacturer.

(b) A retailer shall not be held liable for failure to label a raw agricultural commodity as required by section 3043 of this title, provided that the retailer, within 20 days of any proposed enforcement action or notice of violation, obtains a sworn statement in accordance with subdivision 3044(2) of this title.

§ 3046. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this chapter or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid or in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or in violation of the Constitution or laws of Vermont, the invalidity or the violation shall not affect other provisions of this section which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, the provisions of this chapter are severable.

§ 3047. PENALTIES; ENFORCEMENT

(a) A violation of this chapter is deemed to be a violation of section 2453 of this title.
(b) The Attorney General shall have the same authority to make rules, conduct civil investigations, enter into assurances of discontinuance, and bring civil actions, and consumers shall have the same rights and remedies as provided under subchapter 1 of chapter 63 of this title.

Sec. 3. ATTORNEY GENERAL RULEMAKING; LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

The Attorney General is authorized to adopt by rule requirements for the implementation of Sec. 2 of this act, including a requirement that the label required for food produced from genetic engineering include a disclaimer that the Food and Drug Administration does not consider foods produced from genetic engineering to be materially different from other foods. Any rule adopted under this section shall not go into effect until the effective date of this act.

Sec. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE

(a) This section and Sec. 3 (Attorney General rulemaking) of this act shall take effect on passage.

(b) Secs. 1 (findings) and 2 (labeling of food produced with genetic engineering) of this act shall take effect on the first occurring of the following two dates:
(1) 18 months after two other states enact legislation with requirements substantially comparable to the requirements of this act for the labeling of food produced from genetic engineering; or
(2) July 1, 2015.

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

The General Assembly finds and declares that:

(1) Federal law does not provide for the labeling of food that is produced with genetic engineering, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Federal labeling and food and drug laws do not require manufacturers of food produced with genetic engineering to label such food as genetically engineered.

(B) As indicated by the testimony of a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Supervisory Consumer Safety Officer, the FDA has statutory authority to require labeling of food products, but does not consider genetically engineered foods to be materially different from their traditional counterparts to require such labeling.

(C) No formal FDA policy on the labeling of genetically engineered foods has been adopted. Currently, the FDA only provides nonbinding guidance on the labeling of genetically engineered foods, including a 1992 draft guidance regarding labeling of food produced from genetic engineering.
and a 2001 draft guidance for industry regarding voluntary labeling of food produced from genetic engineering.

(2) Federal law does not require independent testing of the safety of food produced with genetic engineering, as evidenced by the following:

(A) In its regulation of food, the FDA does not distinguish genetically engineered foods from foods developed by traditional plant breeding.

(B) Under its regulatory framework, the FDA does not independently test the safety of genetically engineered foods. Instead, manufacturers submit safety research and studies, the majority of which the manufacturers finance or conduct. The FDA reviews the manufacturers' research and reports through a voluntary safety consultation, and issues a letter to the manufacturer acknowledging the manufacturer's conclusion regarding the safety of the genetically engineered food product being tested.

(C) The FDA does not use meta-studies or other forms of statistical analysis to verify that the studies it reviews are not biased by financial or professional conflicts of interest.

(D) There is a lack of consensus regarding the validity of the research and science surrounding the safety of genetically engineered foods, as indicated by the fact that there are peer-reviewed studies published in international scientific literature showing negative, neutral, and positive health results.
(E) There have been no long-term or epidemiologic studies in the United States that examine the safety of human consumption of genetically engineered foods.

(F) Independent scientists may be limited from conducting safety and risk-assessment research of genetically engineered materials used in food products due to industry restrictions or patent restrictions on the use for research of those genetically engineered materials used in food products.

(3) Genetically engineered foods are increasingly available for human consumption, as evidenced by the fact that:

(A) it is estimated that up to 80 percent of the processed foods sold in the United States are at least partially produced from genetic engineering; and

(B) according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in 2012, genetically engineered soybeans accounted for 93 percent of U.S. soybean acreage, and genetically engineered corn accounted for 88 percent of U.S. corn acreage.

(4) Genetically engineered foods potentially pose risks to health, safety, agriculture, and the environment, as evidenced by the following:

(A) There are conflicting studies assessing the health consequences of food produced from genetic engineering.

(B) The genetic engineering of plants and animals may cause unintended consequences.
(C) The use of genetically engineered crops is increasing in commodity agricultural production practices, which contribute to genetic homogeneity, loss of biodiversity, and increased vulnerability of crops to pests, diseases, and variable climate conditions.

(D) Cross-pollination of or cross-contamination by genetically engineered crops may contaminate organic crops and, consequently, affect marketability of those crops.

(E) Cross-pollination from genetically engineered crops may have an adverse effect on native flora and fauna. The transfer of unnatural deoxyribonucleic acid to wild relatives can lead to displacement of those native plants, and in turn, displacement of the native fauna dependent on those wild varieties.

(5) For multiple health, personal, religious, and environmental reasons, the State of Vermont finds that food produced from genetic engineering should be labeled as such, as evidenced by the following:

(A) Public opinion polls conducted by the Center for Rural Studies at the University of Vermont indicate that a large majority of Vermonter want foods produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such.

(B) Polling by the New York Times indicated that many consumers are under an incorrect assumption about whether the food they purchase is produced from genetic engineering, and labeling food as produced from
genetic engineering will reduce consumer confusion or deception regarding the food they purchase.

(C) Because genetic engineering, as regulated by this act, involves the direct injection of genes into cells, the fusion of cells, or the hybridization of genes that does not occur in nature, labeling foods produced with genetic engineering as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all natural,” or other similar descriptors is inherently misleading, poses a risk of confusing or deceiving consumers, and conflicts with the general perception that “natural” foods are not genetically engineered.

(D) Persons with certain religious beliefs object to producing foods using genetic engineering because of objections to tampering with the genetic makeup of life forms and the rapid introduction and proliferation of genetically engineered organisms and, therefore, need food to be labeled as genetically engineered in order to conform to religious beliefs and comply with dietary restrictions.

(E) Labeling gives consumers information they can use to make decisions about what products they would prefer to purchase.

(6) Because both the FDA and the U.S. Congress do not require the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering, the State should require food produced with genetic engineering to be labeled as such in order to serve the interests of the State, notwithstanding limited exceptions, to prevent
inadvertent consumer deception, prevent potential risks to human health, protect religious practices, and protect the environment.

Sec. 2. 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A is added to read:

CHAPTER 82A. LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

§ 3041. PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this chapter to:

(1) Public health and food safety. Establish a system by which persons may make informed decisions regarding the potential health effects of the food they purchase and consume and by which, if they choose, persons may avoid potential health risks of food produced from genetic engineering.

(2) Environmental impacts. Inform the purchasing decisions of consumers who are concerned about the potential environmental effects of the production of food from genetic engineering.

(3) Consumer confusion and deception. Reduce and prevent consumer confusion and deception by prohibiting the labeling of products produced from genetic engineering as “natural” and by promoting the disclosure of factual information on food labels to allow consumers to make informed decisions.

(4) Protecting religious practices. Provide consumers with data from which they may make informed decisions for religious reasons.

§ 3042. DEFINITIONS
As used in this chapter:

(1) “Consumer” shall have the same meaning as in subsection 2451a(a) of this title.

(2) “Enzyme” means a protein that catalyzes chemical reactions of other substances without itself being destroyed or altered upon completion of the reactions.

(3) “Food” means food intended for human consumption.

(4) “Genetic engineering” is a process by which a food is produced from an organism or organisms in which the genetic material has been changed through the application of:

   (A) in vitro nucleic acid techniques, including recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) techniques and the direct injection of nucleic acid into cells or organelles; or

   (B) fusion of cells (including protoplast fusion) or hybridization techniques that overcome natural physiological, reproductive, or recombination barriers, where the donor cells or protoplasts do not fall within the same taxonomic group, in a way that does not occur by natural multiplication or natural recombination.

(5) “In vitro nucleic acid techniques” means techniques, including recombinant DNA or ribonucleic acid techniques, that use vector systems and techniques involving the direct introduction into the organisms of hereditary
materials prepared outside the organisms such as micro-injection, chemoporation, electroporation, micro-encapsulation, and liposome fusion.

(6) “Manufacturer” means a person who:

(A) produces a processed food or raw agricultural commodity under its own brand or label for sale in or into the State;

(B) sells in or into the State under its own brand or label a processed food or raw agricultural commodity produced by another supplier;

(C) owns a brand that it licenses or licensed to another person for use on a processed food or raw commodity sold in or into the State;

(D) sells in, sells into, or distributes in the State a processed food or raw agricultural commodity that it packaged under a brand or label owned by another person;

(E) imports into the United States for sale in or into the State a processed food or raw agricultural commodity produced by a person without a presence in the United States; or

(F) produces a processed food or raw agricultural commodity for sale in or into the State without affixing a brand name.

(7) “Organism” means any biological entity capable of replication, reproduction, or transferring of genetic material.

(8) “Processed food” means any food other than a raw agricultural commodity and includes any food produced from a raw agricultural
commodity that has been subjected to processing such as canning, smoking, pressing, cooking, freezing, dehydration, fermentation, or milling.

(9) “Processing aid” means:

(A) a substance that is added to a food during the processing of the food but that is removed in some manner from the food before the food is packaged in its finished form;

(B) a substance that is added to a food during processing, is converted into constituents normally present in the food, and does not significantly increase the amount of the constituents naturally found in the food; or

(C) a substance that is added to a food for its technical or functional effect in the processing but is present in the finished food at levels that do not have any technical or functional effect in that finished food.

(10) “Raw agricultural commodity” means any food in its raw or natural state, including any fruit or vegetable that is washed, colored, or otherwise treated in its unpeeled natural form prior to marketing.

§ 3043. LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

(a) Except as set forth in section 3044 of this title, food offered for sale by a retail after July 1, 2016 shall be labeled as produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering if it is a product:
(1) offered for retail sale in Vermont; and

(2) entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering.

(b) If a food is required to be labeled under subsection (a) of this section, it shall be labeled as follows:

(1) in the case of a packaged raw agricultural commodity, the manufacturer shall label the package offered for retail sale, with the clear and conspicuous words “produced with genetic engineering”;

(2) in the case of any raw agricultural commodity that is not separately packaged, the retailer shall post a label appearing on the retail store shelf or bin in which the commodity is displayed for sale with the clear and conspicuous words “produced with genetic engineering”; or

(3) in the case of any processed food that contains a product or products of genetic engineering, the manufacturer shall label the package in which the processed food is offered for sale with the words: “partially produced with genetic engineering”; “may be produced with genetic engineering”; or “produced with genetic engineering.”

(c) Except as set forth under section 3044 of this title, a manufacturer of a food produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering shall not label the product on the package, in signage, or in advertising as “natural,” “naturally made,” “naturally grown,” “all natural,” or any words of similar import that would have a tendency to mislead a consumer.
(d) This section and the requirements of this chapter shall not be construed
to require:

(1) the listing or identification of any ingredient or ingredients that were
genetically engineered; or

(2) the placement of the term “genetically engineered” immediately
preceding any common name or primary product descriptor of a food.

§ 3044. EXEMPTIONS

The following foods shall not be subject to the labeling requirements of
section 3043 of this title:

(1) Food consisting entirely of or derived entirely from an animal which
has not itself been produced with genetic engineering, regardless of whether
the animal has been fed or injected with any food, drug, or other substance
produced with genetic engineering.

(2) A raw agricultural commodity or processed food derived from it that
has been grown, raised, or produced without the knowing or intentional use of
food or seed produced with genetic engineering. Food will be deemed to be as
described in this subdivision only if the person otherwise responsible for
complying with the requirements of subsection 3043(a) of this title with respect
to a raw agricultural commodity or processed food obtains, from whomever
sold the raw agricultural commodity or processed food to that person, a sworn
statement that the raw agricultural commodity or processed food has not been
knowingly or intentionally produced with genetic engineering and has been segregated from and has not been knowingly or intentionally commingled with food that may have been produced with genetic engineering at any time. In providing such a sworn statement, any person may rely on a sworn statement from his or her own supplier that contains the affirmation set forth in this subdivision.

(3) Any processed food which would be subject to subsection 3043(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more processing aids or enzymes produced with genetic engineering.

(4) Any beverage that is subject to the provisions of Title 7.

(5) Any processed food that would be subject to subsection 3043(a) of this title solely because it includes one or more materials that have been produced with genetic engineering, provided that the genetically engineered materials in the aggregate do not account for more than 0.9 percent of the total weight of the processed food.

(6) Food that an independent organization has verified has not been knowingly or intentionally produced from or commingled with food or seed produced with genetic engineering. The Office of the Attorney General, after consultation with the Department of Health, shall approve by procedure the independent organizations from which verification shall be acceptable under this subdivision (6).
(7) Food that is not packaged for retail sale and that is:

(A) a processed food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption; or

(B) served, sold, or otherwise provided in any restaurant or other food establishment, as defined in 18 V.S.A. § 4301, that is primarily engaged in the sale of food prepared and intended for immediate human consumption.

(8) Medical food, as that term is defined in 21 U.S.C. § 360ee(b)(3).

§ 3045. RETAILER LIABILITY

(a) A retailer shall not be liable for the failure to label a processed food as required by section 3043 of this title, unless the retailer is the producer or manufacturer of the processed food.

(b) A retailer shall not be held liable for failure to label a raw agricultural commodity as required by section 3043 of this title, provided that the retailer, within 30 days of any proposed enforcement action or notice of violation, obtains a sworn statement in accordance with subdivision 3044(2) of this title.

§ 3046. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this chapter or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid or in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or in violation of the Constitution or laws of Vermont, the invalidity or the violation shall not affect other provisions of this section which
can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, the provisions of this chapter are severable.

§ 3047. FALSE CERTIFICATION

It shall be a violation of this chapter for a person knowingly to provide a false statement under subdivision 3044(2) of this title that a raw agricultural commodity or processed food has not been knowingly or intentionally produced with genetic engineering and has been segregated from and has not been knowingly or intentionally commingled with food that may have been produced with genetic engineering at any time.

§ 3048. PENALTIES; ENFORCEMENT

(a) Any person who violates the requirements of this chapter shall be liable for a civil penalty of not more than $1,000.00 per day, per product. Calculation of the civil penalty shall not be made or multiplied by the number of individual packages of the same product displayed or offered for retail sale. Civil penalties assessed under this section shall accrue and be assessed per each uniquely named, designated, or marketed product.

(b) The Attorney General shall have the same authority to make rules, conduct civil investigations, enter into assurances of discontinuance, and bring civil actions as provided under subchapter 1 of chapter 63 of this title. Consumers shall have the same rights and remedies as provided under subchapter 1 of chapter 63 of this title.
Sec. 3. ATTORNEY GENERAL RULEMAKING; LABELING OF FOOD PRODUCED WITH GENETIC ENGINEERING

The Attorney General may adopt by rule requirements for the implementation of 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A, including:

(1) a requirement that the label required for food produced from genetic engineering include a disclaimer that the Food and Drug Administration does not consider foods produced from genetic engineering to be materially different from other foods; and

(2) notwithstanding the labeling language required by 9 V.S.A. § 3043(b), a requirement that a label required under 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A identify food produced entirely or in part from genetic engineering in a manner consistent with requirements in other jurisdictions for the labeling of food, including the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering.

Sec. 4. GENETICALLY ENGINEERED FOOD LABELING SPECIAL FUND

(a) There is established a Genetically Engineered Food Labeling Special Fund, pursuant to 32 V.S.A. chapter 7, subchapter 5 to pay costs or liabilities incurred by the Attorney General or the State in implementation and administration, including rulemaking, of the requirements under 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A for the labeling of food produced from genetic engineering.

(b) The Fund shall consist of:
(1) private gifts, bequests, grants, or donations of any amount made to the State from any public or private source for the purposes for which the Fund was established;

(2) except for those recoveries that by law are appropriated for other uses, up to $1,500,000.00 of settlement monies collected by the Office of the Attorney General that, as determined by the Office of the Attorney General after consultation with the Joint Fiscal Office and the Department of Finance and Management, exceed the estimated amounts of settlement proceeds in the July 2014 official revenue forecast issued under 32 V.S.A. § 305a for fiscal year 2015; and

(3) such sums as may be appropriated or transferred by the General Assembly.

(c) Monies in the Fund from settlement monies collected by the Office of the Attorney General or from funds appropriated or transferred by the General Assembly shall be disbursed only if monies in the Fund from private gifts, bequests, grants, or donations are insufficient to the Attorney General to pay the costs or liabilities of the Attorney General or the State incurred in implementation and administration of the requirements of 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A.

(d) On or after July 1, 2018, if the Attorney General is not involved in ongoing litigation regarding the requirements of 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A and
monies in the Fund exceed the costs or liabilities of the Attorney General or the State:

(1) unexpended monies in the Fund received from private or public sources shall be appropriated by the General Assembly, after review by the Senate and House Committees on Appropriations, the Senate Committee on Agriculture, and the House Committee on Agriculture and Forest Products, for the support of agricultural activities or agricultural purposes in the State, including promotion of value-added products, compliance with water quality requirements, and marketing assistance and development; and

(2) unexpended State monies in the Fund shall revert to the General Fund.

Sec. 5. ATTORNEY GENERAL FISCAL YEAR BUDGET

If, in fiscal year 2015, $1,500,000.00 in monies is not collected in the Genetically Engineered Food Labeling Special Fund established under Sec. 4 of this act, the Attorney General shall request in the fiscal year 2016 budget proposal for the Office of the Attorney General the monies necessary to implement and administer the requirements established by 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A for the labeling of food produced from genetic engineering.

Sec. 6. ATTORNEY GENERAL REPORT ON LABELING OF MILK

(a) On or before January 15, 2015, the Office of the Attorney General, after consultation with the Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets, shall
submit to the Senate and House Committees on the Judiciary, the Senate Committee on Agriculture, and the House Committee on Agriculture and Forest Products a report regarding whether milk and milk products should be subject to the labeling requirements of 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A for food produced with genetic engineering. The report shall include:

(1) a recommendation as to whether milk or milk products should be subject to the requirements of 9 V.S.A. chapter 82A; and

(2) the legal basis for the recommendation under subdivision (1) of this subsection.

(b) In exercise of the Attorney General’s authority to defend the interests of the State, the Attorney General, in his or her discretion, may notify the General Assembly that it is not in the best interest of the State to submit the report required under subsection (a) of this section on or before January 15, 2015. Any notice submitted under this subsection shall estimate the date when the report shall be submitted to the General Assembly.

Sec. 7. EFFECTIVE DATES

(a) This section and Secs. 3 (Attorney General rulemaking), 4 (genetically engineered food labeling special fund), 5 (Attorney General budget fiscal year 2016), 6 (Attorney General report; milk) shall take effect on passage.

(b) Secs. 1 (findings) and 2 (labeling of food produced with genetic engineering) shall take effect on July 1, 2016.