No. 59. An act relating to the marketing of prescribed products.

(S.48)

It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

Sec. 1. 18 V.S.A. § 4631(b) is amended to read:

(b) As used in this section:

* * *

(3) “Health care professional” shall have the same meaning as health care provider in section 9402 of this title.

* * *

Sec. 2. LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS; INTENT

(a) The general assembly finds that the legislative findings in Sec. 1 of No. 80 of the Acts of 2007 provide a sound basis for instituting a ban of certain gifts to prescribers and disclosure of marketing activities as provided for in this act. Findings (1) through (8), (13), (15), (17), (19), and (21) shall be incorporated into this act by reference.

(b) The general assembly also finds:

(1) In 2007, Vermonters spent an estimated $572 million on prescription and over-the-counter drugs and nondurable medical supplies. In 2002, spending was about $377 million. Between 2002 and 2007, the average annual increase in spending was 8.7 percent, which is slightly higher than the average increase in overall health care spending during this same period.
(2) According to the U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont in IMS v. Sorrell, Docket No. 1:07-CV-188 (Apr. 23, 2009), the state of Vermont has a substantial interest in cost containment and the protection of public health.

(3) The court in IMS v. Sorrell found that research shows that doctors are influenced by the marketing efforts of pharmaceutical companies, and that doctors who attend talks sponsored by a pharmaceutical company often prescribe that company’s drug more than a competitor’s drugs.

(4) The court in IMS v. Sorrell also found that drug detailing encourages doctors to prescribe newer, more expensive, and potentially more dangerous drugs instead of adhering to evidence-based treatment guidelines.

(5) According to a 2009 report from the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, acceptance of meals and gifts and other relationships are common between physicians and pharmaceutical, medical device, and biotechnology companies. The report found that these relationships may influence physicians to prescribe a company’s medicines even when evidence indicates another drug would be more beneficial to the patient.

(6) According to the April 2009 Report of Vermont Attorney General William H. Sorrell, in fiscal year 2008, pharmaceutical manufacturers reported spending $2,935,248.00 in Vermont on fees, travel expenses, and other direct payments to Vermont physicians, hospitals, universities, and others for the purpose of marketing their products. Of Vermont’s 4,573 licensed health care
professionals, 2,280 were recipients. Of the above amount, approximately $2.1 million in payments went to physicians. The top 100 individual recipients received nearly $1,770,000.00 in fiscal year 2008.

(7) Of the disclosures reported by pharmaceutical manufacturers, only 17 percent were available to the public due to the current trade secret exemption in state law.

(8) According to the attorney general, expenditures on food totaled $861,911.70, or 29.36 percent of all marketing expenditures. Of the 1,132 recipients of food in fiscal year 2008, 20.36 percent had $500.00 or more expended on them, including 11.31 percent who had $1,000.00 or more expended on them. 41.1 percent of the 1,132 recipients of food received food valued at $100.00 or less. The individual recipient with the greatest reported food expenditure received $15,793.78 in food for him- or herself and any colleagues who may not prescribe.

(9) The federal Office of Inspector General (OIG) has taken enforcement action against several medical device manufacturers in recent years for violations of fraud and abuse laws. Through its investigations, the OIG found medical device manufacturers providing kickbacks to physicians in the form of all-expense-paid trips, false consulting arrangements, meals, and other gifts. The OIG recommends subjecting the financial relationships between medical device manufacturers and physicians to reporting requirements and greater transparency.
(10) There is little or no difference in the marketing of biological products and prescription drugs. It is logical and necessary to include biological products to the same extent as prescription drugs to ensure appropriate and consistent transparency and reduce real or perceived conflicts of interest.

(11) This act is necessary to increase transparency for consumers by requiring disclosure of allowable expenditures and gifts to health care providers and facilities providing health care. This act is also necessary to reduce real or perceived conflicts of interest which undermine patient confidence in health care providers and increase health care costs by influencing prescribing patterns. Limitations on gifts and increased transparency are expected to save money for consumers, businesses, and the state by reducing the promotion of expensive prescription drugs, biological products, and medical devices, and to protect public health by reducing sales-oriented information to prescribers.

Sec. 3. 18 V.S.A. § 4631a is added to read:

§ 4631a. GIFTS BY MANUFACTURERS OF PRESCRIBED PRODUCTS

(a) As used in this section:

(1) “Allowable expenditures” means:

(A) Payment to the sponsor of a significant educational, medical, scientific, or policy-making conference or seminar, provided:

(i) the payment is not made directly to a health care provider;
(ii) funding is used solely for bona fide educational purposes; and
(iii) all program content is objective, free from industry control, and does not promote specific products.

(B) Honoraria and payment of the expenses of a health care professional who serves on the faculty at a bona fide significant educational, medical, scientific, or policy-making conference or seminar, provided:

(i) there is an explicit contract with specific deliverables which are restricted to medical issues, not marketing activities; and
(ii) the content of the presentation, including slides and written materials, is determined by the health care professional.

(C) For a bona fide clinical trial:

(i) gross compensation for the Vermont location or locations involved;
(ii) direct salary support per principal investigator and other health care professionals per year; and
(iii) expenses paid on behalf of investigators or other health care professionals paid to review the clinical trial.

(D) For a research project that constitutes a systematic investigation, is designed to develop or contribute to general knowledge, and reasonably can be considered to be of significant interest or value to scientists or health care professionals working in the particular field of inquiry:

(i) gross compensation:
(ii) direct salary support per health care professional; and

(iii) expenses paid on behalf of each health care professional.

(E) Payment or reimbursement for the reasonable expenses, including travel and lodging-related expenses, necessary for technical training of individual health care professionals on the use of a medical device if the commitment to provide such expenses and the amounts or categories of reasonable expenses to be paid are described in a written agreement between the health care provider and the manufacturer.

(F) Royalties and licensing fees paid to health care providers in return for contractual rights to use or purchase a patented or otherwise legally recognized discovery for which the health care provider holds an ownership right.

(G) Other reasonable fees, payments, subsidies, or other economic benefits provided by a manufacturer of prescribed products at fair market value.

(2) “Bona fide clinical trial” means an FDA-reviewed clinical trial that constitutes “research” as that term is defined in 45 C.F.R. § 46.102 and reasonably can be considered to be of interest to scientists or health care professionals working in the particular field of inquiry.

(3) “Clinical trial” means any study assessing the safety or efficacy of prescribed products administered alone or in combination with other prescribed products or other therapies, or assessing the relative safety or efficacy of
prescribed products in comparison with other prescribed products or other therapies.

(4) “Gift” means:

(A) Anything of value provided to a health care provider for free; or

(B) Any payment, food, entertainment, travel, subscription, advance, service, or anything else of value provided to a health care provider, unless:

(i) it is an allowable expenditure as defined in subdivision (a)(1) of this section; or

(ii) the health care provider reimburses the cost at fair market value.

(5)(A) “Health care professional” means:

(i) a person who is authorized to prescribe or to recommend prescribed products and who either is licensed by this state to provide or is otherwise lawfully providing health care in this state; or

(ii) a partnership or corporation made up of the persons described in subdivision (i) of this subdivision (5)(A); or

(iii) an officer, employee, agent, or contractor of a person described in subdivision (i) of this subdivision (5)(A) who is acting in the course and scope of employment, of an agency, or of a contract related to or supportive of the provision of health care to individuals.

(B) The term shall not include a person described in subdivision (A) of this subdivision (5) who is employed solely by a manufacturer.
(6) “Health care provider” means a health care professional, a hospital, nursing home, pharmacist, health benefit plan administrator, or any other person authorized to dispense or purchase for distribution prescribed products in this state.

(7) “Manufacturer” means a pharmaceutical, biological product, or medical device manufacturer or any other person who is engaged in the production, preparation, propagation, compounding, processing, packaging, repacking, distributing, or labeling of prescribed products. The term does not include a wholesale distributor of biological products or a pharmacist licensed under chapter 36 of Title 26.

(8) “Marketing” shall include promotion, detailing, or any activity that is intended to be used or is used to influence sales or market share or to evaluate the effectiveness of a professional sales force.

(9) “Pharmaceutical manufacturer” means any entity which is engaged in the production, preparation, propagation, compounding, conversion, or processing of prescription drugs, whether directly or indirectly by extraction from substances of natural origin, independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, or any entity engaged in the packaging, repackaging, labeling, relabeling, or distribution of prescription drugs. The term does not include a wholesale distributor of prescription drugs or a pharmacist licensed under chapter 36 of Title 26.
(10) “Prescribed product” means a drug or device as defined in section 201 of the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 321, or a biological product as defined in section 351 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. § 262.

(11) “Significant educational, scientific, or policy-making conference or seminar” means an educational, scientific, or policy-making conference or seminar that:

(A) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education or a comparable organization; and

(B) offers continuing medical education credit, features multiple presenters on scientific research, or is authorized by the sponsoring association to recommend or make policy.

(b)(1) It is unlawful for any manufacturer of a prescribed product or any wholesale distributor of medical devices, or any agent thereof, to offer or give any gift to a health care provider.

(2) The prohibition set forth in subdivision (1) of this subsection shall not apply to any of the following:

(A) Samples of a prescribed product provided to a health care provider for free distribution to patients.

(B) The loan of a medical device for a short-term trial period, not to exceed 90 days, to permit evaluation of a medical device by a health care provider or patient.
(C) The provision of reasonable quantities of medical device
demonstration or evaluation units to a health care provider to assess the
appropriate use and function of the product and determine whether and when
to use or recommend the product in the future.

(D) The provision, distribution, dissemination, or receipt of
peer-reviewed academic, scientific, or clinical articles or journals and other
items that serve a genuine educational function provided to a health care
provider for the benefit of patients.

(E) Scholarship or other support for medical students, residents, and
fellows to attend a significant educational, scientific, or policy-making
conference or seminar of a national, regional, or specialty medical or other
professional association if the recipient of the scholarship or other support is
selected by the association.

(F) Rebates and discounts for prescribed products provided in the
normal course of business.

(G) Labels approved by the federal Food and Drug Administration
for prescribed products.

(c) The attorney general may bring an action in Washington superior court
for injunctive relief, costs, and attorney’s fees and may impose on a
manufacturer that violates this section a civil penalty of no more than
$10,000.00 per violation. Each unlawful gift shall constitute a separate
violation.
Sec. 4. 18 V.S.A. § 4632 is amended to read:

§ 4632. PHARMACEUTICAL MARKETERS DISCLOSURE OF ALLOWABLE EXPENDITURES AND GIFTS BY MANUFACTURERS OF PRESCRIBED PRODUCTS

(a)(1) Annually on or before December October 1 of each year, every pharmaceutical manufacturing company manufacturer of prescribed products shall disclose to the office of the attorney general for the fiscal year ending the previous June 30th the value, nature, and purpose, and recipient information of any gift, fee, payment, subsidy, or other economic benefit provided in connection with detailing, promotional, or other marketing activities by the company, directly or through its pharmaceutical marketers, to any physician, hospital, nursing home, pharmacist, health benefit plan administrator, or any other person in Vermont authorized to prescribe, dispense, or purchase prescription drugs in this state. Disclosure shall include the name of the recipient. Disclosure shall be made on a form and in a manner prescribed by the office of the attorney general and shall require pharmaceutical manufacturing companies to report the value, nature, and purpose of all gift expenditures according to specific categories. The office of the attorney general shall report annually on the disclosures made under this section to the general assembly and the governor on or before April 1:

    (A) any allowable expenditure or gift permitted under subdivision 4631a(b)(2) of this title to any health care provider, except:
(i) royalties and licensing fees as described in subdivision
4631a(a)(1)(F) of this title;

(ii) rebates and discounts for prescribed products provided in the
normal course of business as described in subdivision 4631a(b)(2)(F) of this
title;

(iii) payments for clinical trials as described in subdivision
4631a(a)(1)(C) of this title, which shall be disclosed after the earlier of the date
of the approval or clearance of the prescribed product by the Food and Drug
Administration or two calendar years after the date the payment was made.
For a clinical trial for which disclosure is delayed under this subdivision (iii),
the manufacturer shall identify to the attorney general the clinical trial, the start
date, and the web link to the clinical trial registration on the national clinical
trials registry; and

(iv) samples of a prescription drug provided to a health care
professional for free distribution to patients.

(B) any allowable expenditure or gift permitted under subdivision
4631a(b)(2) of this title to an academic institution or to a professional,
educational, or patient organization representing or serving health care
providers or consumers, except:

(i) royalties and licensing fees as described in subdivision
4631a(a)(1)(F) of this title;
(ii) rebates and discounts for prescribed products provided in the normal course of business as described in subdivision 4631a(b)(2)(F) of this title;

(iii) payments for clinical trials as described in subdivision 4631a(a)(1)(C) of this title, which shall be disclosed after the earlier of the date of the approval or clearance of the prescribed product by the Food and Drug Administration or two calendar years after the date the payment was made. For a clinical trial for which disclosure is delayed under this subdivision (iii), the manufacturer shall identify to the attorney general the clinical trial, the start date, and the web link to the clinical trial registration on the national clinical trials registry; and

(iv) samples of a prescription drug provided to a health care professional for free distribution to patients.

(2) Annually on October 1, each company subject to the provisions of this section manufacturer of prescribed products also shall disclose to the office of the attorney general, the name and address of the individual responsible for the company’s compliance with the provisions of this section, or if this information has been previously reported, any changes to the name or address of the individual responsible for the company’s compliance with the provisions of this section.

(3) The office of the attorney general shall keep confidential all trade secret information, as defined by subdivision 317(b)(9) of Title 1, except that
the office may disclose the information to the department of health and the office of Vermont health access for the purpose of informing and prioritizing the activities of the evidence-based education program in subchapter 2 of chapter 91 of Title 18. The department of health and the office of Vermont health access shall keep the information confidential. The disclosure form shall permit the company to identify any information that it claims is a trade secret as defined in subdivision 317(c)(9) of Title 1. In the event that the attorney general receives a request for any information designated as a trade secret, the attorney general shall promptly notify the company of such request. Within 30 days after such notification, the company shall respond to the requester and the attorney general by either consenting to the release of the requested information or by certifying in writing the reasons for its claim that the information is a trade secret. Any requester aggrieved by the company’s response may apply to the superior court of Washington County for a declaration that the company’s claim of trade secret is invalid. The attorney general shall not be made a party to the superior court proceeding. Prior to and during the pendency of the superior court proceeding, the attorney general shall keep confidential the information that has been claimed as trade secret information, except that the attorney general may provide the requested information to the court under seal.
(4) The following shall be exempt from disclosure:

(A) free samples of prescription drugs intended to be distributed to patients;

(B) the payment of reasonable compensation and reimbursement of expenses in connection with bona fide clinical trials;

(C) any gift, fee, payment, subsidy or other economic benefit the value of which is less than $25.00;

(D) scholarship or other support for medical students, residents, and fellows to attend a significant educational, scientific, or policy-making conference of a national, regional, or specialty medical or other professional association if the recipient of the scholarship or other support is selected by the association; and

(E) prescription drug rebates and discounts.

(3) Disclosure shall be made on a form and in a manner prescribed by the office of the attorney general and shall require manufacturers of prescribed products to report each allowable expenditure or gift permitted under subdivision 4631a(b)(2) of this title including:

(A) except as otherwise provided in subdivision (a)(2) of this section, the value, nature, and purpose of each allowable expenditure, and gift permitted under subdivision 4631a(b)(2) of this title according to specific categories identified by the office of the attorney general;

(B) the name of the recipient;
(C) the recipient’s address;

(D) the recipient’s institutional affiliation;

(E) prescribed product or products being marketed, if any; and

(F) the recipient’s state board number.

(4) The office of the attorney general shall report annually on the disclosures made under this section to the general assembly and the governor on or before April 1. The report shall include:

(A) Information on allowable expenditures and gifts required to be disclosed under this section, which shall be presented in both aggregate form and by selected types of health care providers or individual health care providers, as prioritized each year by the office.

(B) Information on violations and enforcement actions brought pursuant to this section and section 4631a of this title.

(5) After issuance of the report required by subdivision (a)(5) of this section, the office of the attorney general shall make all disclosed data used for the report publicly available and searchable through an Internet website.

(6) The office of Vermont health access shall examine the data available from the office of the attorney general for relevant expenditures and determine whether and to what extent prescribing patterns by health care providers of prescribed products reimbursed by Medicaid, VHAP, Dr. Dynasaur, VermontRx, and VPharm may reflect manufacturer influence. The office may
select the data most relevant to its analysis. The office shall report its analysis annually to the general assembly and the governor on or before October 1.

(b)(1) Annually on July 1, the office of the attorney general shall collect a $500.00 fee from each manufacturer of prescribed products filing annual disclosures of expenditures greater than zero described in subsection (a) of this section.

(2) Fees collected under this section shall fund collection and analysis of information on activities related to the marketing of prescribed products under sections 4631a and 4632 of Title 18. The fees shall be collected in a special fund assigned to the office.

(c) The attorney general may bring an action in Washington superior court for injunctive relief, costs, and attorneys’ fees, and to impose on a pharmaceutical manufacturing company that fails to disclose as required by subsection (a) of this section a civil penalty of no more than $10,000.00 per violation. Each unlawful failure to disclose shall constitute a separate violation.

(e) As used in this section:

(1) “Approved clinical trial” means a clinical trial that has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or has been approved by a duly constituted Institutional Review Board (IRB) after reviewing and evaluating it in accordance with the human subject protection

(2) “Bona fide clinical trial” means an approved clinical trial that constitutes “research” as that term is defined in 45 C.F.R. § 46.102 when the results of the research can be published freely by the investigator and reasonably can be considered to be of interest to scientists or medical practitioners working in the particular field of inquiry.

(3) “Clinical trial” means any study assessing the safety or efficacy of drugs administered alone or in combination with other drugs or other therapies, or assessing the relative safety or efficacy of drugs in comparison with other drugs or other therapies.

(4) “Pharmaceutical marketer” means a person who, while employed by or under contract to represent a pharmaceutical manufacturing company, engages in pharmaceutical detailing, promotional activities, or other marketing of prescription drugs in this state to any physician, hospital, nursing home, pharmacist, health benefit plan administrator, or any other person authorized to prescribe, dispense, or purchase prescription drugs. The term does not include a wholesale drug distributor or the distributor's representative who promotes or otherwise markets the services of the wholesale drug distributor in connection with a prescription drug.

(5) “Pharmaceutical manufacturing company” means any entity which is engaged in the production, preparation, propagation, compounding.
conversion, or processing of prescription drugs, either directly or indirectly by extraction from substances of natural origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, or any entity engaged in the packaging, repackaging, labeling, relabeling, or distribution of prescription drugs. The term does not include a wholesale drug distributor or pharmacist licensed under chapter 36 of Title 26.

(6) “Unrestricted grant” means any gift, payment, subsidy, or other economic benefit to an educational institution, professional association, health care facility, or governmental entity which does not impose any restrictions on the use of the grant, such as favorable treatment of a certain product or an ability of the marketer to control or influence the planning, content, or execution of the education activity.

(d) Disclosures of unrestricted grants for continuing medical education programs shall be limited to the value, nature, and purpose of the grant and the name of the grantee. It shall not include disclosure of the individual participants in such a program. The terms used in this section shall have the same meanings as they do in section 4631a of this title.

Sec. 5. 1 V.S.A. § 317(c) is amended to read:

(c) The following public records are exempt from public inspection and copying:

* * *
(9) trade secrets, including, but not limited to, any formulae, plan, pattern, process, tool, mechanism, compound, procedure, production data, or compilation of information which is not patented, which is known only to certain individuals within a commercial concern, and which gives its user or owner an opportunity to obtain business advantage over competitors who do not know it or use it, except that the disclosures required by section 4632 of Title 18 shall not be included in this subdivision:

Sec. 5a. STUDY OF DISCLOSURE OF DRUG SAMPLES

(a) The attorney general’s office shall conduct a review, in consultation with the commission on health care reform, of the advisability of modifying section 4632 of Title 18 to require the disclosure of information about the provision of samples to health care providers by manufacturers of prescribed products.

(b) The attorney general’s office shall provide a report of its findings to the house committee on health care and the senate committees on finance and on health and welfare no later than December 15, 2009.

Sec. 6. 18 V.S.A. § 4633(d) is amended to read:

(d) As used in this section:

(1) “Average wholesale price” or “AWP” means the wholesale price charged on a specific commodity that is assigned by the drug manufacturer pharmaceutical manufacturing company and listed in a nationally recognized drug pricing file.
(2) “Pharmaceutical manufacturing company” is defined by subdivision 4632(c)(5) of this title shall have the same meaning as “pharmaceutical manufacturer” in section 4631a of this title.

(3) “Pharmaceutical marketer” is defined by subdivision 4632(c)(4) of this title means a person who, while employed by or under contract to represent a pharmaceutical manufacturing company, engages in marketing, as that term is defined in section 4631a of this title.

* * * Therapeutic Substitution of Prescription Drugs * * *

Sec. 7. THERAPEUTIC EQUIVALENT DRUG WORK GROUP

(a) It is the intent of the general assembly to explore increasing the usage of generic drugs by allowing pharmacists to substitute a therapeutically equivalent generic drug from a specified list when a physician prescribes a more expensive brand-name drug in the same class. This section creates a work group to recommend a sample list and a process for substitution for consideration by the general assembly. A “therapeutically equivalent generic drug” means a generic drug which is in the same class as a brand-name drug but is not necessarily chemically equivalent.

(b) A work group is created to generate a proposed list by class of drugs to describe which generic drug or drugs could be substituted when a physician prescribes a more expensive brand name drug in the same class, with equivalent dosages for the substitution.
(c)(1) The work group shall consist of two physicians appointed by the Vermont Medical Society, two pharmacists appointed by the Vermont pharmacy association, and three representatives of the drug utilization review board.

(2) A representative of the drug utilization review board shall convene the first meeting of the work group. The work group shall organize itself with a chair or cochairs for the purposes of scheduling and conducting meetings.

(3) The work group shall consult with medical specialists and organizations representing patients when necessary to determine whether a substitution is advisable and safe for a particular condition or when the work group deems it necessary to have additional information of a specialized nature.

(d) The proposed list shall not include drugs used to treat severe and persistent mental illness.

(e) The work group shall transmit the list of therapeutically equivalent generic drugs to the board of medical practice established under chapter 23 of Title 26 and the board of pharmacy established under subchapter 2 of chapter 36 of Title 26 for review and comment. The board of medical practice and the board of pharmacy shall review the list of therapeutically equivalent generic drugs jointly to determine whether the list appropriately provides for substitutions. The boards shall provide comments to the work group no later than 60 days after receiving the list.
(f) No later than January 15, 2010, the work group shall provide a report to the house committees on health care and on human services and the senate committees on finance and on health and welfare on the list generated, the comments provided by the boards of medical practice and of pharmacy, patient advocacy organizations, and any other information the work group deems relevant to the consideration of draft legislation.

Sec. 8. 2 V.S.A. chapter 26 is amended to read:

CHAPTER 26. NORTHEAST NATIONAL LEGISLATIVE ASSOCIATION ON PRESCRIPTION DRUGS PRICING DRUG PRICES

§ 951. NORTHEAST NATIONAL LEGISLATIVE ASSOCIATION ON PRESCRIPTION DRUGS PRICING DRUG PRICES

(a) The general assembly finds that the Northeast National Legislative Association on Prescription Drugs Pricing Drug Prices is a nonprofit organization of legislators formed for the purpose of making prescription drugs more affordable and accessible to citizens of the member states. The general assembly further finds that the activities of the Association provide a public benefit to the people of the state of Vermont.

(b) On or before January 15, upon the convening of each biennial session of the general assembly, three directors shall be appointed by the speaker, which may include the speaker, and three directors shall be appointed by the committee on committees, which may include a member of the committee on committees, to serve as the Vermont directors of the Northeast National
Legislative Association on Prescription Drug Prices. Directors so appointed from each body shall not all be from the same party. Directors so appointed shall serve until new members are appointed.

(c) For meetings of the Association, directors who are legislators shall be entitled to per diem compensation and reimbursement of expenses in accordance with section 406 of Title 2. If the lieutenant governor is appointed as a director pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, his or her compensation and expenses shall be paid from the appropriation made to the office of the lieutenant governor.

(d) The Vermont directors of the Association shall report to the general assembly on or before January 1 of each year with a summary of the activities of the Association, and any findings and recommendations for making prescription drugs more affordable and accessible to Vermonters.

Sec. 8a. HEALTH CARE COSTS IN CORRECTIONS WORK GROUP

(a) The director of health care reform, in consultation with the commissioner of corrections, shall convene a work group to:

(1) review the recommendations of the Heinz Family Philanthropies report entitled Making Connections: Utilizing the 340B Drug Pricing Program; and

(2) establish a mechanism for providing health services and prescriptions through a network of federally qualified health centers, disproportionate share hospitals, and other covered entities eligible under the

(b) The work group shall include representatives from:

1. Bi-State Primary Care Association;
2. Fletcher Allen Health Care;
3. Vermont Association of Hospitals and Health Systems;
4. Behavioral Health Network;
5. Heinz Family Philanthropies; and
6. other interested stakeholders.

(c) No later than July 31, 2009, the work group shall provide a report to the commission on health care reform and the corrections oversight committee.

Sec. 9. 33 V.S.A. § 1998(c)(4)(A) is amended to read:

(4) The actions of the commissioners, the director, and the secretary shall include:

(A) active collaboration with the Northeast National Legislative Association on Prescription Drugs in the Association’s efforts to establish a Prescription Drug Fair Price Coalition Drug Prices;

Sec. 10. APPROPRIATION

In fiscal year 2010, the sum of $40,000.00 is appropriated to the office of the attorney general from a special fund assigned to the office for the purposes of collecting and analyzing information on activities related to the marketing of prescribed products under sections 4631a, 4632, and 4633 of Title 18.
Sec. 11. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect July 1, 2009, except:

(1) pharmaceutical manufacturers shall file by November 1, 2009 disclosures based on the law in effect on June 30, 2009 required by subdivision 4632 of Title 18 for the time period July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009; and

(2) manufacturers of biological products and medical devices shall file by October 1, 2010 disclosures required by subdivisions 4632(a)(1) and (2) of Title 18 for the time period January 1, 2010 to June 30, 2010.

(3) Sec. 8a of this act, establishing a work group to examine health care costs in corrections, shall take effect upon passage.

Approved: June 8, 2009